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Abstract

Fast-track hip and knee arthroplasty aims at giving the patients the best available treatment at all times, being a dynamic entity. Fast-track combines evidence-based, clinical features with organizational optimization including a revision of traditions resulting in a streamlined pathway from admission till discharge – and beyond. The goal is to reduce morbidity, mortality and functional convalescence with an earlier achievement of functional milestones including functional discharge criteria with subsequent reduced length of stay and high patient satisfaction. Outcomes are traditionally measured as length of stay; safety aspects in the form of morbidity/mortality; patient satisfaction; and – as a secondary parameter – economic savings.

Optimization of the clinical aspects include focusing on analgesia; DVT-prophylaxis; mobilization; care principles including functional discharge criteria; patient-characteristics to predict outcome; and traditions which may be barriers in optimizing outcomes. Patients should be informed and motivated to be active participants and their expectations should be modulated in order to improve satisfaction.

Also, organizational aspects need to be analyzed and optimized. New logistical approaches should be implemented; the ward ideally (re)structured to only admit arthroplasties; the staff educated to have a uniform approach; extensive preoperative information given including discharge criteria and intended length of stay. This thesis includes 9 papers on clinical and organizational aspects of fast-track hip and knee arthroplasty (I-IX). A detailed description of the fast-track set-up and its components is provided. Major results include identification of patient characteristics to predict length of stay and satisfaction with different aspects of the hospital stay (I); how to optimize analgesia by using a compression bandage in total knee arthroplasty (II); the clinical and organizational set-up facilitating or acting as barriers for early discharge (III); safety aspects following fast-track in the form of few readmissions in general (IV) and few thromboembolic complications in particular (V); feasibility studies showing excellent outcomes following fast-track bilateral simultaneous total knee arthroplasty (VI) and non-septic revision knee arthroplasty (VII); how acute pain relief in total hip arthroplasty is not enhanced by the use of local infiltration analgesia when multi-modal opioid-sparing analgesia is given (VIII); and a detailed description of which clinical and organizational factors detain patients in hospital following fast-track hip and knee arthroplasty (IX).

Economic savings following fast-track hip and knee arthroplasty is also documented in studies, reviews, metaanalyses and Cochrane reviews – including the present fast-track (ANORAK).

In conclusion, the published results (I-IX) provide substantial, important new knowledge on clinical and organizational aspects of fast-track hip and knee arthroplasty – with concomitant documented high degrees of safety (morbidity/mortality) and patient satisfaction.

Future research strategies are multiple and include both research strategies as efforts to implement the fast-track methodology on a wider basis. Research areas include improvements in pain treatment, blood saving strategies, fluid plans, reduction of complications, avoidance of tourniquet and concomitant blood loss, improved early functional recovery and muscle strengthening. Also, improvements in information and motivation of the patients, preoperative identification of patients needing special attention and detailed economic studies of fast-track are warranted.

Optimering af de kliniske aspekter inkluderer fokus på analgesi, tromboembolisk profylakse, mobilisation, pleje-principper inkluderende funktionelle udskrivelserskriterier, patient-karakteristika forbundet med indlæggelsesvarighed, og traditioner som gives samt oplysning om forventet hospitaliseringsvarighed.

Patienter bør informeres og motiveres til aktiv deltagelse lige så som deres forventninger bør justeres til faktiske forhold for at bedre tilfredshed med forløb og udkomme.

Ligeledes bør organisatoriske aspekter analyseres og optimeres. Nye logistiske tiltag kan implementeres, sengeafdelingen (re-)struktureres til kun at modtage alloplastik-patienter, personalet undervises i fast-track principper til opnåelse af identisk patient-tilgang, grundig information om forløbet inklusiv udskrivelserskriterier gives samt oplysning om forventet hospitaliseringsvarighed.

Denne disputats bygger på 9 studier omhandlende kliniske og organisatoriske forhold ved fast-track hofte- og knæalloplastik kirurgi (I–IX), ligesom en detaljeret beskrivelse af komponenterne i fast-track foretages. Vigtige resultater inkluderer identificering af patient-karakteristika, der påvirker indlæggelsesvarighed og tilfredshed med forskellige aspekter af forløbet (I); hvorledes anvendelse af en komprimerende bandage i forbindelse med lokal infiltrations analgesi kan optimere denne ved total knæalloplastik (II); identifikation af kliniske og organisatoriske faktorer, der faciliterer eller hammer tidlig udskrivelse (III); sikkerheds-aspcter efter fast-track i form af få genindlæggelser i almindelighed (IV) og få tromboemboliske komplikationer i særdeleshed (V); demonstration af gode resultater ved fast-track bilateral varighed knæalloplastik (VI) og fast-track non-septisk revisions-knæalloplastik (VII); hvorledes umiddelbar smerte-lindring efter total hoftealloplastik ikke bedres yderligere ved brug af lokal infiltrationsanalgesi, når der anvendes multimodal opioid-besparende analgesi (VIII); og en detaljeret beskrivelse af hvor vilkårne og organisatoriske faktorer, der forhindrer opfyldelse af funktionelle udskrivelserskriterier og dermed udskrivelse efter fast-track hofte- og knæalloplastik (IX).


Fremtidige forskningsområder er multiple og inkluderer både klinisk forskning samt måder, hvorpå fast-track kan implementeres bedre og bredere. Klinisk forskning vil omhandle bettede smertebehandling, blødningsreducerende strategier, væskebehandling, reduktion af komplikationer, undladelse af tourniquet-anvendelse (med samtidig blødningsbesparelse), forbedringer af tidlig mobilisation og funktionel rekonvalescence, samt muskel styrkelse. Ligeledes vil der fokuseres på optimere information og motivation af patienter i fast-track, præoperativer identifikation af patienter med særlige behov (smerte, tromboemboliske komplikationer) samt detaljerede økonomiske studier af moderne fasttrack med 1–3 dages indlæggelse.
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Summary of papers

(I) Predictors of length of stay and patient satisfaction after hip and knee replacement surgery: fast-track experience in 712 patients

This prospective cohort study identifies patient characteristics associated with LOS and patient satisfaction after total hip and knee arthroplasty in a self-designed fast-track set-up, which is outlined. 712 consecutive, unselected patients operated with primary unilateral arthroplasty had epidemiological, physical, and perioperative parameters registered and correlated to LOS and patient satisfaction. 92% of the patients were discharged to their homes within 5 days, and 41% were discharged within 3 days. A number of patient characteristics were found to influence postoperative outcome regarding LOS (among these especially age, sex, ASA-score, the need for blood transfusion, and time till first mobilization) and patient satisfaction (positive association with short LOS and advanced age) or and also logistical barriers for early discharge were identified (operation at the end of the week). This fast-track had short LOS (3.8 days) with high patient satisfaction and few readmissions within 3 months (5-6%).

(II) A compression bandage prolongs duration of local infiltration analgesia in total knee arthroplasty

This prospective, randomized study on one of the components of the high-volume local infiltration analgesia technique (LIA, 0.2% Ropivacaine, 170 mL) after total knee arthroplasty studied the role of bandaging to prolong analgesia. 48 patients undergoing fast-track TKA were randomized to receive a compression or a non-compression bandage with the assessment of pain for 24 h postoperatively. Pain at rest, during flexion, or during straight leg lift was lower for the first 8 h in patients with compression bandage than in those with non-compression bandage and with a similar low use of oxycodone and mean LOS (3.0 days). Thus, a compression bandage is recommended to improve analgesia after LIA in total knee arthroplasty.

(III) What determines length of stay after total hip and knee arthroplasty? A nationwide study in Denmark

This prospective nationwide study identified logistical and clinical areas of importance for LOS by identifying departments with short and long LOS and evaluating their set-up. The three departments with the shortest and the three with the longest LOS were identified based on the National Register on Patients in 2004 on LOS following THA and TKA. The logistical and clinical set-up was examined to identify factors acting as facilitators or barriers for early rehabilitation and discharge. Also, patients from these departments answered a questionnaire regarding satisfaction with components of their stay, co-morbidity, sex and age. Mean LOS was 7.4 and 8.0 days after THA and TKA, respectively, with patients staying from 4.5 to 12 days. Departments with short LOS were characterized by both logistical (homogeneous entities, regular staff, high continuity, using more time on and up to date information including expectations on a short stay, functional discharge criteria) and clinical features (multimodal opioid sparing analgesia, early mobilisation and discharge when criteria were met) facilitating quick rehabilitation and discharge. Patient demographics from departments with the shortest stay were similar regarding age, sex and co-morbidities compared to patients from departments with longer stays, but the former patients were either as satisfied – or more satisfied – with all parts of their stay. There was no difference in staffing (nurses/physiotherapists) between the two types of departments.

(IV) Readmissions after fast-track hip and knee arthroplasty

This prospective cohort study of 1,731 consecutive, unselected patients operated with primary THA or TKA in a fast-track set-up studied safety aspects in the form of deaths and readmissions following the fast-track set-up in general and the risk of dislocation after THA and manipulation after TKA in specific. Mean LOS decreased from 6.3 to 3.1 days in the study period of 5 years and within 90 days, 15.6% of patients following TKA were readmitted as opposed to 10.9% after THA (p = 0.005). 3 deaths (0.17%) were associated with clotting episodes. Suspicion of DVT (not found) and suspicion of infection made up half of the readmissions. Readmissions in general and for thromboembolic events, dislocations and manipulations in specific did not increase with decreasing LOS. It is concluded, that fast-track does not increase death or readmission rate following THA and TKA compared to other studies on more conventional stays and fast-track is thus considered safe regarding mortality and morbidity.

(V) Low incidence of thromboembolic complications in a fast-track set-up with hip and knee arthroplasty

This prospective cohort study evaluates the impact of short-duration pharmacological thromboprophylaxis combined with early mobilization and short hospitalization on the incidence of death, PE and DVT. 1,977 consecutive, unselected patients were operated with primary THA, TKA, or BSTKA in a well-described standardized fast-track set-up during 5 years. Patients received DVT prophylaxis with low-molecular-weight heparin starting 6–8 h after surgery until discharge only. All re-admis-
sions and deaths within 30 and 90 days were analyzed using the national health register, concentrating especially on clinical DVT (confirmed by ultrasound and elevated D-dimer), PE, or sudden death. Numbers were correlated to days of prophylaxis (LOS). Mean LOS decreased from 7.3 days to 3.1 days. 3 deaths (0.15%) were associated with clotting episodes and overall, 11 clinical DVTs (0.56%) and 6 PEs (0.30%) were found. The vast majority of events took place within 30 days; only 1 death and 2 DVTs occurred between 30 and 90 days. During the last 2 years (854 patients), when patients were mobilized within 4 h postoperatively and the duration of DVT prophylaxis was shortest (1–4 days), the mortality was 0% and no DVT or PE were found following BSTKA. These figures compare favorably with published regimens with extended prophylaxis (up to 36 days) and hospitalization up to 11 days and question the need of extended thromboprophylaxis, when patients are mobilized early.

(VI) Fast-track bilateral total knee arthroplasty

This prospective cohort study analyzes the outcome of 150 consecutive, but selected, bilateral simultaneous total knee arthroplasties compared to 271 unilateral total knee arthroplasties in a standardized fast-track setting. Apart from staying longer (mean 4.7 days vs. 3.3 days) and using more blood transfusions; the outcome at 3 months and 2 years follow-up was identical or better in the bilateral group regarding morbidity, mortality, satisfaction, range of motion, pain, use of walking aids, ability to return to work and ability to perform activities of daily living. Bilateral simultaneous total knee arthroplasty can be performed in a fast-track set-up with satisfactory results.

(VII) Fast-track revision knee arthroplasty

This prospective cohort study on 29 consecutive, unselected patients operated with 30 revision total knee arthroplasties for non-septic indications in a fast-track setting evaluated whether patients undergoing revision TKA could follow a standardized fast-track set-up designed for primary TKA – with a similar outcome as primary TKA. LOS was median 2 (1–4) days for all but 1 patient who was transferred to another hospital for logistical reasons. No patient died within 3 months and 3 patients were readmitted (2 for suspicion of DVT but not found and one for manipulation). Patient satisfaction was high. It is concluded, that patients undergoing revision TKA for non-septic reasons can follow a fast-track set-up with an outcome comparable to primary TKA regarding LOS, morbidity, and satisfaction.

(VIII) Intraoperative local infiltration analgesia for early analgesia after total hip arthroplasty: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial

This prospective randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study evaluated if intraoperative high-volume LIA, in addition to a multimodal oral analgesic regime, would further reduce acute postoperative pain after THA. 120 patients operated with unilateral primary THA were randomized to receive LIA or saline 0.9%. A multimodal oral analgesic regime consisting of slow release acetaminophen 2 g, celecoxib 400 mg and gabapentin 600 mg was instituted preoperatively. Rescue analgesic consisted of oral oxycodone. Pain scores were low for all pain assessments (walking, rest, and upon flexion of the hip) and did not differ between the LIA and the placebo group (p > 0.05). Consumption of rescue oxycodone did not differ between groups (p = 0.45). Intraoperative high-volume LIA with ropivacaine 0.2% provided no additional reduction in acute pain after THA when combined with a multimodal oral analgesic regime consisting of acetaminophen, celecoxib and gabapentin, and is therefore not recommended.

(IX) Why in hospital after fast-track hip and knee arthroplasty?

This prospective cohort study evaluated 207 consecutive, unselected patients (109 TKA and 98 THA) operated in a fast-track set-up where median LOS was 2 days for both groups and of which 95 % fulfilled functional discharge criteria ≤ 3 days. The clinical and logistical factors responsible for postoperative hospitalization showed that pain, dizziness and general weakness were the main reasons for being hospitalized at 24 and 48 hours postoperatively. Nausea, vomiting, confusion and sedation had minimal influence to delay discharge. Logistical challenges are mainly early upstart of physiotherapy (day of surgery) aiming at establishing ambulation and strengthening of muscles, and early transfusion of blood for patients needing this. It is concluded that future efforts to enhance recovery and reduce LOS after THA and TKA should focus on analgesia, orthostatic and muscle function.
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1. Introduction

In 1983, clinical pathways (CP) were introduced in total hip and knee arthroplasty (THA and TKA) in the United States. CPs are algorithms or flowcharts containing all important factors regarding patient care from diagnosis over operation until the desired goals are achieved. The purpose of a CP is to standardize and optimize procedures to ensure an optimal and reproducible outcome regarding quality, efficiency and economic savings.

The reason for introducing CPs in USA was an economic incentive as a Diagnosis Related Grouping (DRG) system was introduced. This led to a decrease in the reimbursements for hospitals when performing THA and TKA and some even had budget deficits as the reimbursement covered less than the actual expense. These changes encouraged the development of CPs to lower costs and ensure a high, standardized quality of treatment and care. Focus would initially be primarily on the ability to save money to balance income and expenses.

Various orthopedic departments developed different variations of CPs focusing on both economic profitability and patient satisfaction; common features were a desire to: a) reduce costs by negotiating a reduced price with the manufacturers of prostheses; b) differentiate the activity-level of the patients resulting in choosing a cheaper prostheses for the low-activity old patient and a more expensive prosthesis aimed at the needs of the more active younger patient; c) reduce length of stay (LOS) by streamlining and rationalizing patient-flow from diagnosis till discharge – without compromising the clinical outcome or patient satisfaction. Some reduced LOS in hospital, but transferred patients to rehabilitation facilities outside hospital instead.

In contrast to the more economic orientated and -driven approach, Professor Henrik Kehlet developed a fast-track concept, a methodology focusing on optimization of clinical features in synergy with improved logistics allowing the patient to recover faster – and then secondarily resulting in a reduction of LOS as convalescence was shortened. Henrik Kehlet, having a background in surgical gastroenterology, focused initially on optimizing the hospital stays for patients undergoing abdominal surgery and hernia-repairs, but soon “fathered” fast-tracks across different surgical specialties but also anesthesiology and medical specialties. Research areas included surgical pathophysiology and many aspects of anesthesia and surgery in the broadest term in order to mediate and reduce the surgical stress response associated with all types of surgery. In 1992, a pilot study from Hvidovre Hospital on Danish THA patients was published and later followed by a cohort-study – indicating that a revision of pain treatment towards balanced multimodal analgesia, intensive mobilization and a critical revision of traditions could reduce convalescence and hospital stay. This pioneering work led to many publications on different modalities to reduce the surgical stress response, reduce convalescence and improve patient outcome – and as early as 1994 the idea of “the pain- and risk-free operation” was born.

While we still have not achieved a pain- and risk-free operation for all patients receiving THA or TKA, we are approaching step by step. Outcome measurements after fast-track surgery may include – apart from the above-mentioned LOS, patient satisfaction and economy – safety aspects in the form of morbidity/mortality, blood loss, and efficacy of pain treatment, time till first mobilization, swelling and strength of the operated limb among others. LOS should never be the key parameter – unless looked upon as an indicator of the clinical and logistical features making up the fast-track and following fixed, unaltered, functional discharge criteria (= the fulfilling the same functional milestones earlier). However, many questions remain unanswered and debated. What should an ideal fast-track set-up include? Can we predict LOS for certain patient characteristics? Which patients/operations should enter the fast-track? Is it safe – what kind and number of complications should be expected? How do we treat early post-operative pain best – allowing patients to mobilize early? And ultimately: why is the patient in hospital following THA or TKA – what is it we cannot control?

Attempting to answer these questions – by in-depth analyses of the clinical, organizational, and safety aspects of fast-track THA and TKA – has remained the driving force behind the studies making up this doctoral thesis. Many clinical features in the form of combinations of anesthesia, pain-reducing drugs and techniques, mobilization regimens and up-to-date care principles are being evaluated along with optimized logistics, patient education and – motivation, improved surgical techniques and prosthetic outcome. All efforts produce a synergistic result allowing us to replace potentially harmful traditions with evidence-based superior clinical features to give the patients the best possible treatment at any given time. This is what fast-track is aiming at: first doing it better, then doing it quicker – by improving all parts of the perioperative hospital stay and thereby addressing all parts of the convalescence: being the right track.
2. Clinical aspects

Fast-track surgery combines optimized clinical features with improved logistics. A variety of clinical aspects could and should be part of a fast-track set-up, including analgesia; DVT-prophylaxis; mobilization regimens and physiotherapy; and care principles (nursing). Selection of proper discharge criteria is imperative as these play a vital role as gate-keepers for discharge – which may also include traditions associated with all aspects of staying in hospital. Patient demographics and –related characteristics may influence LOS as some may predispose subgroups to staying longer for various reasons having difficulty fulfilling the discharge criteria within the expected time frame. Apart from clinical outcome and safety aspects following fast-track, patient satisfaction is a key parameter – although the patient may not fully understand the underlying principles for choosing the appropriate and best treatment modalities – the resulting satisfaction following discharge with the various parameters associated with the hospital stay is important and may influence the rehabilitation.

2.1 Analgesia

One of the key parameters of fast-track surgery is the implementation of an efficient and well-documented pain treatment regimen – as pain is detaining the patient in bed making early mobilization difficult. Updated information on evidence-based, procedure-specific pain treatment is found on www.postoppain.org, where the PROSPECT working group publishes its evidence-based recommendations. The recommended multimodal opioid-sparing pain treatment regimen is based on a synergistic effect of different pain treatment modalities including paracetamol, a COX-2 inhibitor, and opioids only as rescue medicine – weak or strong depending on pain intensity.

The multimodal opioid-sparing pain treatment used in most of the studies that comprise this thesis (I, II, IV–IX) consists of paracetamol (slow-release 2 g × 2), a COX-2 inhibitor (Celebra 200 mg × 2), gabapentin (300 mg + 600 mg) and opioid upon request (oxycodeone (fast-acting) 5–10 mg/morphine 10 mg).

Whereas the use of paracetamol and COX-2 inhibitors – and the avoidance/minimal use of opioids – is well-documented, gabapentinoids are not recommended at the moment as insufficient data exist on its potential benefits in pain treatment following arthroplasty. Even though the most recent review concluded that gabapentin reduces pain and opioid requirements compared to placebo, the evidence compared with other post-operative regimens is not sufficient\(^\text{14}\). A recent study on THA and gabapentin found no additional effect on pain or opioid consumption, but used only a single dose (600 mg) in conjunction with a multimodal regimen of acetaminophen, a COX-2 inhibitor and steroids\(^\text{17}\). The most recent study found an effect of combining gabapentin, dexamethasone and ketamine on pain (but not on opioid use), but this effect may be due to either the combination or a single drug\(^\text{18}\). Nevertheless, the addition of gabapentin makes theoretical sense as a pain-reducing effect and an opioid-sparing effect may benefit multimodal pain treatment and thus facilitate mobilization – and also a sedative effect has been demonstrated which may be utilized by giving a dose before bed-time to facilitate sleep\(^\text{19}\). Sleep disturbances are common following arthroplasty surgery and may lead to postoperative cognitive dysfunction\(^\text{20}\).

No randomized studies exist on pain reduction following multiple doses of gabapentin added to a multimodal opioid-sparing pain treatment regimen and the dose-response effect of gabapentin as part of a multimodal regimen in arthroplasty remains to be established.

Other modalities than oral analgesics for post-operative pain treatment include the local infiltration technique (LIA) with bandaging techniques and cooling, peripheral nerve blocks and the use of glucocorticoids.

The LIA technique, originally developed by Kerr and Kohan\(^\text{21}\) is also not (yet) recommended by the PROSPECT group. However, again, it makes good theoretical sense to block the nerve impulses at their origin and limit the pain stimuli from reaching the central nervous system. Dennis Kerr, being an Australian anesthetist, made a “recipe” on which ingredients to add in a cocktail attempting to keep the active drug localized, thus treating the wound like a snake-bite (ropivacaine 0.2%; adrenaline; ketorolac). Reporting on a cohort of 86 TKA, 54 THA and 185 hip resurfacings, excellent immediate pain relief was reported allowing early pain-free mobilization; no opioid use in > 65%; no side-effects regarding mobilization or potential (cardiac) toxicity nor infection – and allowing 71% of patients to reduce LOS to an overnight stay\(^\text{21}\). No attempt was made by Kerr and Kohan to break down the technique in order to identify which component(s) contributed to the outcome. A number of studies have randomized the LIA technique versus placebo or other pain treatment modalities (PCA, femoral nerve block, epidural) but few have focused on evaluating the components/ingredients of the LIA technique (Table 1 and Table 2) – which is in sheer contrast to its widespread use. Making comparisons and drawing conclusions from the few studies is made even more difficult as different “cocktails” are used (ingredients; concentration and volume hereof; addition of NSAID or not). One controversy is on the addition of NSAID to the mixture, most often as ketorolac (30 mg), as there is an ongoing debate as to whether local anti-inflammatory effects for NSAIDs are dominant in the peri-
articular tissues – or if it is better to give it systemically. The latter approach was chosen for our studies as it ensures an effect – and not potentially skewing outcomes for comparison between LIA (with local NSAID) and placebo (without local NSAID). Although cooling in conjunction with the LIA technique has not been specifically evaluated, a metaanalyses found no convincing effect of cryotherapy including no reduction of pain or swelling.

LIA in TKA has been shown to be effective in the studies comparing it to placebo with a reduction in pain for up to 48 hours, reduced opioid consumption for 24 hours, increased patient satisfaction, and better ROM (Table 1). However, one has to be careful regarding interpretation of what is causing these improvements in early convalescence as 3 of the 4 studies include NSAID in the mixture used for infiltration – as in the originally described “cocktail” by Kerr and Kohan. Only the study by Andersen et al. is evaluating the ropivacaine plus adrenaline mixture versus saline in a bilateral triple-blinded set-up, thus using the patient as his own control. One may argue that the LIA is “overflowing” to the other knee or has a systemic effect or the patient is unable to differentiate between the two painful knees, but this does not alter the highly significant difference in pain perception in favor of the LIA-side and – if anything – would minimize the difference between the knees. Also, infiltration into the subcutaneous tissues makes a difference, whereas later injection through a catheter – multi-holed or epidural – in the subcutaneous tissues makes no difference as changing the volume/concentration is of no added benefit regarding pain reduction. Injection through a catheter has been found to reduce pain, but in all the studies by Andersen et al. no clinically significant reduction in pain was seen regardless of placement of the catheter intraarticular, intracapsular or subcutaneously and supported by other results.

Only a few randomized studies have compared the LIA technique against other modalities: 2 against continuous femoral nerve block and 3 against epidural. The studies are, however, of methodological limitations as the LIA technique remains to be more thoroughly investigated before comparing it to other modalities including what – despite an associated caveat on motor blockade leading to fall episodes – remains the gold standard: the femoral nerve block.

The final element of the LIA technique is the application of a bandage. Bandaging techniques following TKA have not been investigated very much; actually there are only two studies – one on the effect on haemostasis (without LIA) and one on the effect on pain in conjunction with LIA (II). The pres-

---

**Table 1. RCT TKA with LIA. LIA+ = ropivacaine, adrenaline and ketorolac; LIA− = ropivacaine and adrenaline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Analgesic technique</th>
<th>Reduced pain/ opioid need</th>
<th>LOS (days), LIA vs. control</th>
<th>Reasons for being hospitalized</th>
<th>Well-defined discharge criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Venditti et al. 2006</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>LIA+ vs saline</td>
<td>yes/yes</td>
<td>4.8 vs 5.2 (NS)</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Busch et al. 2006</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>LIA+ morphine vs no infiltration</td>
<td>yes/yes</td>
<td>5.2 vs 5.2 (NS)</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toftdahl et al. 2007</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>LIA+ vs femoral nerveblock</td>
<td>yes/yes</td>
<td>5 vs 6 (NS)</td>
<td>not relevant</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andersen et al. 2008 (Bilat)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>LIA− vs saline</td>
<td>yes/not relevant</td>
<td>2.8 vs 3.3 (NS)</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andersen et al. 2008</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>LIA− vs no infiltration</td>
<td>yes/not measured</td>
<td>4 vs 6 (NS)</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essving et al. 2010</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>LIA− vs intraarticular vs extraarticular</td>
<td>no difference</td>
<td>3 vs 2.9 (NS)</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andersen et al. 2010</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>LIA− vs intracapsular vs intraarticular</td>
<td>yes/yes</td>
<td>4 vs 4</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andersen et al. 2010 (Bilat)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>LIA− vs continuous epidural</td>
<td>yes – subcut. infiltr.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andersen et al. 2010</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>LIA− postop. volume vs concentration</td>
<td>no diff./no diff.</td>
<td>3 vs 2.5 (NS)</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carli et al. 2010</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>LIA+ cont. intraarticular vs cont. femoral nerveblock</td>
<td>yes/yes</td>
<td>5 vs 5</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spreng et al. 2010</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>LIA+ morphine vs epidural vs LIA given iv</td>
<td>yes/yes</td>
<td>3.5 vs 5.5 vs 4</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorsell et al. 2010</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>LIA+ vs epidural</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>4.7 vs 5 (NS)</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. RCT THA with LIA. LIA+ = ropivacaine, adrenaline and ketorolac; LIA− = ropivacaine and adrenaline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Analgesic technique</th>
<th>Reduced pain/ opioid need</th>
<th>LOS (days), LIA vs. control</th>
<th>Reasons for being hospitalized</th>
<th>Well-defined discharge criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andersen et al. 2007</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>LIA− vs continuous epidural</td>
<td>yes/yes</td>
<td>4.5 vs 7 (p&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andersen et al. 2007</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>LIA+ vs saline</td>
<td>yes/yes</td>
<td>2.6 vs 2.8 (NS)</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Busch et al. 2010</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>LIA+ morphine vs no infiltration</td>
<td>yes/yes</td>
<td>5.3 vs 5.8 (NS)</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunn et al. 2011</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>LIA− vs saline</td>
<td>no/no</td>
<td>2.7 vs 2.5 (NS)</td>
<td>not spec.</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
sure necessary to produce tamponade of the knee joint is 52-62 mmHg, while the pressure on the skin from a properly placed compression bandage is around 30 mmHg – depending on the experience of the person applying it, the radius of the leg, the stiffness of the structures etc. Patients treated with the compression bandage had better ROM at discharge and fewer complications related to bleeding into the soft tissues compared to patients having a soft crepe bandage\textsuperscript{41}. A prospective, randomized study on the role of bandaging to prolong analgesia was performed in 48 patients undergoing fast-track TKA including LIA (II). The assumption was that the bandage would improve analgesia by reducing absorption of the local analgesics and also by reducing joint and limb swelling. Patients were randomized to receive a compression or a non-compression bandage with the assessment of pain for 24 h postoperatively. Pain at rest, during flexion, and during straight leg lift was lower for the first 8 h in patients with compression bandage than in those with non-compression bandage and with a similar low use of opioids (around 11 mg/24 hours) and mean LOS (3.0 days). Thus, a compression bandage is recommended to improve analgesia after LIA in total knee arthroplasty – but it is not deductible from the study which assumed mechanism resulted in less pain. Less swelling of the limb and less haemarthrosis was also noted in the study by Charalambides et al.\textsuperscript{41} and this may reduce pain but also favor early mobilization as an association between swelling of the knee and the periarticular tissues exist.

LIA in THA has in the published studies different outcomes – spanning from a reduction in pain\textsuperscript{42-44}, opioid consumption\textsuperscript{42-44} and LOS\textsuperscript{45} to no additional effect when used in conjunction with a multimodal opioid-sparing pain treatment regimen (Table 2). Two studies from our group both found no effect on pain reduction of LIA in THA (VIII, Andersen et al., \textit{in press} 2011). The reason is not a lack of analgesic effect when used in the periarticular tissues surrounding the hip, but rather a result of one of the following or a combination hereof: a) relatively low pain scores associated with THA, where median pain scores are at all times <3 and <5 upon rest and mobilization, respectively\textsuperscript{42}; b) good and sufficient effect on pain by an oral multimodal pain treatment regimen; c) different structures/perfusion around the hip compared to the knee; and/or d) less effect of cooling due to more tissue interposed.

The studies showing an effect of LIA in THA have not used as potent an oral multi-modal regimen as the one used in our negative studies (VIII, Andersen et al., \textit{in press} 2011) or are potentially flawed by the addition of NSAIDs or glucocorticoids to the mixture given to the LIA-group but not to the control-group as explained above; thus – instead of measuring the effect of the local analgesic only – potentially measuring the well-known effect of NSAID or glucocorticoid on both pain and opioid consumption\textsuperscript{45-46}.

In a two-center study, the largest published study on the potential effect of LIA on pain following THA, no additional effect was found (VIII). In this prospective randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study, it was evaluated whether high-volume LIA – in addition to a multimodal oral analgesic regime – would further reduce acute postoperative pain after THA. 120 patients were operated with unilateral primary THA and were randomized to receive LIA or saline 0.9%. The above described multimodal oral analgesic regimen consisting of paracetamol, celecoxib and gabapentin was instituted preoperatively. Pain scores were low (< 20, VAS 0–100) for all pain assessments (walking, rest, and upon flexion of the hip) and did not differ between the LIA and the placebo group (p > 0.05). Consumption of rescue oxycode done also did not differ between groups (p = 0.45). Intraoperative high-volume LIA with ropivacaine 0.2% provided no additional reduction in acute pain after THA when combined with a multimodal oral analgesic regimen consisting of paracetamol, celecoxib and gabapentin, and is therefore not recommended. The potential pain-reducing effect of an intra-articular catheter topped up with ropivacaine has not been evaluated in this study, but is highly unlikely to have any effect on reducing pain – as a) no effect was seen with a much higher dose at infiltration; b) no effect has been shown in most studies on intra-articular infusion of opioids or local analgesics in total knee arthroplasty\textsuperscript{49}; and c) a randomized study found no effect on pain, opioid consumption or LOS of injections of ropivacaine into a catheter versus placebo following 60 consecutive THAs\textsuperscript{54}. Thus, in conclusion, LIA does not seem to produce any additional effect on pain, opioid consumption or LOS – when a multimodal opioid-sparing analgesia regimen is used consisting of paracetamol, a COX-2 inhibitor and gabapentin.

Another potential modality to include in a multimodal regimen is glucocorticoids. These inhibitors of the inflammatory response may be applied locally or systemically and their effect on pain – among other positive effects – has been documented in other specialties\textsuperscript{46}, but also in orthopaedics\textsuperscript{45}. In THA, a study found a reduction in pain upon mobilisation from a single dose of glucocorticoid\textsuperscript{50} without any adverse effects at follow-up after 6 weeks and 1 year\textsuperscript{51}. In TKA, a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study on 48 patients receiving a single dose of glucocorticoid or saline found the first group of patients to have less pain for 48 hours and less opioid consumption – among other beneficiary outcomes\textsuperscript{57}. Further dose-response studies as well as safety studies are needed before general recommendations can be made.

Even with multimodal opioid-sparing pain treatment in combination with LIA, pain may still be a problem upon discharge and on short-term follow-up. A study on subacute pain following fast-track THA and TKA found pain to be a minor problem at rest and upon mobilization in THA patients 30 days after surgery, whereas 52% of TKA patients suffered from moderate pain and 16% had severe pain\textsuperscript{52}.

Whether the amount and intensity of early pain may predispose to the development of chronic pain is debated – and currently unanswered for THA and TKA. In a nationwide study, chronic pain (12-18 months postoperatively) following THA was found in 28.1% of patients with moderate to severe intensity in 12.1\%\textsuperscript{52} but incidences may be even higher, i.e. 37.5\%\textsuperscript{53}. Even though the
transition from acute pain to chronic pain has been predicted by the intensity of early pain in several other studies, conflicting data exist following arthroplasty. In a study on pain following TKA, it was found that the degree of pain experienced in the days following surgery was unrelated to the type of knee arthroplasty (primary, bilateral, revision – indicating that pain treatment should not differ between these groups) but was associated with the development of chronic pain – as patients experiencing moderate to intolerable pain had 3-10 times greater risk of developing persistent pain compared to patients sensing only mild pain. A study on pain following THA found no association between early postoperative pain intensity and pain after 6 months but as acute pain upon mobilization was found to be mild to moderate (< 4/V AS10) – as it was also found in a study on subacute pain, this may explain the lack of association and be contributed to the multi-modal pain treatment regimen. The theory of increased pain responsiveness and central sensitization following major surgery is questionable. A study evaluating subjective pain and brain responses before and after TKA found responses in primary and secondary somatosensory cortex for stimulation of the operated leg to be significantly smaller after surgery – arguing against the development of an overall increased pain responsiveness after a major surgical trauma. However, preoperative function of the nociceptive system may be important to predict the risk of acute and persistent pain – as shown in inguinal herniotomy.

In conclusion, a multimodal opioid-sparing analgesia regimen consisting of paracetamol, a COX-2 inhibitor and gabapentin with opioids as rescue medication is supported by several studies. Whereas LIA has been demonstrated to be highly effective in TKA no additional effect was seen in THA. No clinical relevant effect of supplementary injections in a catheter has been demonstrated whereas a compression bandage augments the LIA in TKA. Glucocorticoids may be added to the regimen but dose-response and safety studies are needed before general recommendations can be made.

2.2 DVT prophylaxis

Fast-track surgery aims at shortening convalescence by optimizing the clinical features as to facilitate the complication-free recovery of the patients, i.e. reduce incidences of DVT and PE. Patients operated with THA or TKA are at risk of thromboembolic complications – regardless of application of fast-track or more conventional care. Whether that risk is high, intermediate or low is multi-factorial and may depend on genetic predisposition, presence of varicose veins, type of anaesthesia, fluid therapy during surgery, duration of immobilization, etc. Incidences hereof vary as does the studied population, prophylaxis, logistical and clinical set-up and also follow-up – making comparisons and even metaanalyses difficult and debatable. Some surgeons estimate patients to be at high risk following THA and TKA while – with modern surgical treatment – THA and TKA are found to be low-risk procedures by others.

The guidelines from ACCP outline which prophylactic agents (both pharmacological and mechanical) – and the duration hereof – are recommended for prophylaxis. For TKA at least 10 days of prophylaxis is recommended (preferably longer and up to 35 days) and for THA at least 35 days – using either LMWH, fondaparinux or a vitamin K antagonist (and mechanical prophylaxis for patients at high risk of bleeding). These guidelines are at least partly based on earlier studies where LOS was 7–12 days, where a large fraction of patients were transferred to rehabilitation facilities and where (asymptomatic) DVT was accepted as a (surrogate) endpoint – and thus they are also debatable. Especially the assumption that the occurrence of DVT will reflect the proportion of patients suffering from PE has led some orthopedic surgeons to abandon the more rigorous ACCP guidelines in favor of more differentiated prophylaxis based on risk stratification. Fuelling this argument, a recent study on the potential association between DVT and PE demonstrated only 1.7% of patients to have both and not more frequent than having either and concluded that “the high association between DVT and PE that is assumed to exist does not seem to hold true for orthopedic surgery patients”.

This has led to a recent development of other guidelines (AAOS and NICE) – and an ongoing debate on which pharmacological agents to use for which patients and for how long. Two interesting studies to be included in this debate are the studies by Kim et al. on Korean patients operated with THA (n=300) and TKA (n=343): no prophylaxis was given, more than 1/3 developed DVT, no treatment was given and all patients were followed with venograms and perfusion scans for 6 months: all DVT resolved, no PE developed and no deaths occurred. Although this natural course may not turn out as favorably for all patients with different genes, different treatment algorithms etc., it does show one end of the spectrum – compared to the other where 40-85% of patients develop DVT.

One factor believed to be strongly associated with the development of DVT is immobilization, as it represents one of the cornerstones of the pathophysiology behind DVT (injury-induced hypercoagulability, endothelial injury, reduced blood flow, and immobilization). Although no randomized controlled studies comparing timing (and amount) of mobilization with bed rest exist, suggestions of the beneficent effect of early mobilization on reducing DVT do exist. Based on this, a study was undertaken to evaluate the impact of early mobilization combined with only short-duration pharmacological thromboprophylaxis and short hospitalization on the incidence of death, PE and DVT. 1,977 consecutive, unselected patients were operated with primary THA, TKA, or BSTKA in a well-described standardized fast-track set-up during 5 years. Patients received DVT prophylaxis with low-molecular-weight heparin (enoxaparin 40 mg) starting 6–8 h after surgery until discharge only. All re-admissions and deaths within 30 and 90 days were analyzed using the national health register, concentrating especially on clinical DVT (confirmed by ultrasound
and elevated D-dimer), PE, or sudden death. Numbers were correlated to days of prophylaxis (LOS). Mean LOS decreased from 7.3 days to 3.1 days. 3 deaths (0.15%) were associated with clotting episodes and overall, 11 clinical DVTs (0.56%) and 6 PEs (0.30%) were found. The vast majority of events took place within 30 days; only 1 death and 2 DVTs occurred between 30 and 90 days. During the last 2 years (854 patients), when patients were mobilized within 4 h postoperatively and the duration of DVT prophylaxis was shortest (1–4 days), the mortality was 0% and no DVT or PE were found following BSTKA. These figures compare favorably with published regimens with extended prophylaxis (up to 36 days) and hospitalization up to 11 days\textsuperscript{64, 66, 77–85} and question the need of extended thromboprophylaxis, when patients are mobilized early. The majority of studies on extended prophylaxis with newer drugs are at least partly sponsored by the pharmaceutical companies producing these agents; LOS is long or not accounted for; timing and amount of mobilization is not registered; multiple departments with varying procedures are included etc. which may make interpretation difficult. Also, adding power to the findings of (V) is the inclusion of unselected, consecutive patients as opposed to the majority of the studies on extended prophylaxis where patients were highly selected excluding up to 25% of patients at high risk of developing thromboembolic episodes. Explanations for these favorable results may – apart from the early mobilization – also include other factors such as choice of fluid therapy during surgery as an earlier study\textsuperscript{81} on our patients found a liberal amount of fluid during surgery (median 4250 mL) to result in improved pulmonary function 6 h postoperatively, a reduced incidence of vomiting but also hypercoagulability 24–48 h postoperatively compared to a restrictive fluid plan (median 1740 mL).

For that reason, a standardized intraoperative fluid plan consisting of an intermediate volume has been chosen ever since, consisting of 0.9% saline (5 mL/kg/h) and colloid (Voluven; 7.5 mL/kg/h).

All patients in (V) received 1 g of tranexamic acid intraoperatively for hemostatic purposes as it has been shown to reduce blood loss\textsuperscript{86, 87} and although some may fear an increased risk of DVT following such a regime – on top of the short time of prophylaxis – the results from this study on unselected, consecutive patients with 100% follow-up do not sustain this fear. Also, as none of the patients in (V) with a DVT developed PE within 90 days and also only 6/50 patients with PE had confirmed DVT in another study\textsuperscript{88}, the findings do not support DVT as a valid surrogate parameter for development of PE (as supposed by ACCP) but rather to evaluate only the number of PE and death (as supposed by AAOS). As rebound hypercoagulability\textsuperscript{89} (around 2% of patients discontinuing pharmacological prophylaxis are at risk of getting a DVT) seems to play only a minor – if any – role with short-term prophylaxis as in (V), a relevant question would be if the outcome regarding incident cases would be different if no prophylaxis was given with the early mobilization? The study (V) did not register bleeding episodes and although the newer studies report no increase in these episodes, some surgeons believe these to be underreported and are thus afraid to trade-off (unnecessary) DVT-prophylaxis for patients at low risk instead of an increased number of potentially devastating bleeding episodes\textsuperscript{86, 90}. Early mobilization may be one way to reduce the risk of DVT – regardless of type or length of prophylaxis. Large randomized studies or large prospective cohort studies are warranted.

Thus, in conclusion, patients operated with THA and TKA are at risk of thromboembolic complications but the size of this risk and the subsequent needed prophylaxis is debatable – and may depend on a number of factors including timing and amount of postoperative mobilization. Different guidelines have been developed but are based on older studies with treatment algorithms different from the fast-track methodology, where a prospective cohort study found low incidences of DVT, PE and death. Differentiated prophylaxis based on individual risk assessment including timing of mobilization and balancing the risk of bleeding may be optimal.

### 2.3 Mobilization

Another key prerequisite in fast-track is early mobilization. This requires the pain treatment to be effective, the patient to be motivated and the staff to be available – apart of course from surgery allowing immediate mobilization. The latter is well-investigated also in uncemented THA, where bone mineral density and serial RSA up to 5 years found full-weight bearing to be safe\textsuperscript{81} – although also caution during stair climbing due to torsional forces for the first few weeks following surgery has been recommended\textsuperscript{102}.

A nationwide survey in Denmark found a multitude of different physiotherapy regimens instituted after operations with THA and TKA\textsuperscript{91}, all focusing on strengthening of the muscles, reduction of swelling, gaining ROM and restoration of a normalized gait pattern. Physiotherapy is thus heterogeneous and varies between studies, but meta-analyses evaluating the effect hereof have been disappointing as it is concluded that “insufficient evidence exists to establish the effectiveness of physiotherapy exercise following THA for osteoarthritis”\textsuperscript{94} and also that “interventions … after discharge result in short term benefit after TKA”\textsuperscript{95}. Effect sizes were small to moderate regarding ROM and quality of life at 3–4 months, with no long term benefit\textsuperscript{95}. Also, doubling the amount of physiotherapy during hospitalization following TKA made no difference regarding (lack of) outcome on ROM\textsuperscript{96}.

Is it possible to pre-rehabilitate patients prior to operations with THA or TKA in order to facilitate their recovery? According to a review and a randomized study the answer is negative\textsuperscript{97, 98}.

However, early mobilization has been associated with a reduction in complications – as bed rest has been shown to promote complications\textsuperscript{99, 100}. Patients lying in bed have a reduced oxygen saturation compared to sitting and standing thus potentially leading to prolonged tissue healing and pneumonia; patients are losing
muscles to address and the best way to do it is emerging and of most fast-track protocols. An improved understanding of which normal gait pattern, but also to address pain and muscle weakness care and walking 70 meters with crutches, the latter indicating the operation (6 functional discharge criteria in the afternoon on the day of the surgery found that more than 40% of patients could fulfill all four postoperative day with pain ≤ VAS 5 and on day 2 with pain ≤ VAS 4)106. Also, in THA, a study found no relation between pain intensity and level of functional independence in the second and sixth postoperative days107.

The lack of negative influence of pain on early functional recovery combined with the finding of impaired muscle function allows and warrants physiotherapy to be initiated very early – with protocols focusing on muscle strengthening exercises. An analysis of reasons for staying in hospital up to 72 hours after surgery found that more than 40% of patients could fulfill all 6 functional discharge criteria in the afternoon on the day of the operation (IX). The main problems were taking care of personal care and walking 70 meters with crutches, the latter indicating the need for instant access to physiotherapy in order to regain a more normal gait pattern, but also to address pain and muscle weakness as main reasons for not fulfilling the discharge criteria (IX).

Thus, even though physiotherapy so far has failed to show a significant beneficial influence on functional outcome, it is part of most fast-track protocols. An improved understanding of which muscles to address and the best way to do it is emerging and specified physiotherapy may soon be part of an evidence-based regimen. For the moment being, it represents a valued effort to mobilize the patients correctly, teaching them an appropriate way to ambulate either with or without gait aids, ensuring progress in ROM - and a helpful tool in achieving functional discharge criteria.

In conclusion, conventional physiotherapy following THA and TKA is heterogeneous and has limited effect on outcome. Future studies should focus on early postoperative strengthening therapy and to define indications for physiotherapy in specific patient groups.

2.4 Discharge criteria

The goal for patients and staff alike is the fulfillment of discharge criteria allowing the patient a safe discharge. Many different discharge criteria are used for THA and TKA patients, some may be due to traditions or more practical circumstances rooted in the local community (i.e. the possibility for additional help at home and home-based nurses if needed). Either way, it is necessary to have fixed discharge criteria – known to both patients and staff – in order for both parties to know when discharge should take place and also to allow for comparison within the department, within the region or within the country.

Fast-track surgery should have functional discharge criteria. These functional discharge criteria should reflect the situations the patient has to master at home in order to avoid readmissions for not being able to cope at home. Fast-track surgery can be either value-based or time-based. In value-based fast-track set-ups, daily goals have to be fulfilled in order for the patient to progress to the next step, i.e. a specific ROM, time out of bed or distance to ambulate. In time-based fast-tracks, an intended LOS is set without any daily goals. The advantage to have a value-based fast-track is in the successive progression ensured by the daily goals, whereas the strength of the time-based set-up lies in the possibility to plan the bed occupancy in advance and to allow for differences between patients as some get off to a good start whereas others start off slowly and then speed up. Ideally, these could be combined as LOS is down to a few days for the majority of patients, which the patient and staff should be informed of. The inclusion of functional discharge criteria as the only goal to fulfill makes good sense – as some patients will do so within 24 hours.

The discharge criteria used in the studies (I, II, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX) are strictly functional – with the addition of patients need having pain controlled by oral medication only, a VAS<5 and accept discharge. The functionality is: ability to take care of personal care, get in and out of bed, into and up from a chair, on and off a toilet and to walk with proper walking aids 70m (the length of our hall way)110(I). Minor variations of functional discharge criteria exist without profound impact on LOS112, 113, and it has been found in a nationwide study that functional discharge criteria were present in the 3 departments with the shortest
LOS (III). Other departments also have added flexion criteria for
TKA (>90 degrees to allow discharge). The latter is not evidence-
based as no study exists finding a minimum flexion of 90 degrees
to ensure a good outcome. One study has, however, found that
flexion of at least 60 degrees results in no extra health service
use114 whereas another study found patients with a ROM of 69
degrees to need more manipulations than patients with a ROM of
81 degrees115 at discharge.

Fulfillment of discharge criteria is traditionally evaluated at
surgeon rounds in the morning. With the fast-track methodology,
progress in the form of earlier fulfillment of the functional
milestones (i.e. the functional discharge criteria) may warrant
some form of evaluation sooner than the following morning –
as patients may not fulfill discharge criteria in the morning but
do so following physiotherapy later that day. As discharge crite-
ria are known by both patients and nursing staff, the latter could
evaluate fulfillment and discharge the patient in the afternoon or
early evening. Such an approach has been used in (IX), where
fulfillment of every functional discharge criteria was evaluated
twice daily by the nursing staff; at 9 a.m. and at 2 p.m. Also, rea-
sons for not fulfilling the discharge criteria – whether clinical or
organizational – were registered. This approach resulted in many
patients being discharged earlier than they would have been if
only discharge were to take place in the morning – thus reducing
unnecessary lengthy stay after fulfillment of the discharge criteria
(IX). This prospective cohort study evaluated 207 consecutive,
unselected patients (109 TKA and 98 THA) operated in a fast-
track set-up where median LOS was 2 days for both groups and
of which 95 % fulfilled functional discharge criteria ≤ 3 days.
The clinical and logistical factors responsible for postoperative
hospitalization showed that pain, dizziness and general weakness
were the main reasons for being hospitalized at 24 and 48 hours
postoperatively. Nausea, vomiting, confusion and sedation had
minimal influence to delay discharge. Logistical challenges are
mainly early upstart of physiotherapy (day of surgery) aiming at
establishing ambulation and strengthening of muscles, and early
transfusion of blood for patients needing this. It is concluded that
future efforts to enhance recovery and reduce LOS after THA and
TKA should focus on analgesia, orthostatic and muscle function.

This is the first study to go into detail as to why the patient is
hospitalized following THA or TKA (IX), although a few other
studies have touched upon the subject finding “medical reasons,
surgical reasons and social reasons” responsible for delaying dis-
charge after the intended 5 days116. These findings re-emphasize
the importance of looking at fast-track as a dynamic process
constantly focusing at improving both clinical and organiza-
tional features. Fast-track is not a fixed entity of processes, but
should include the newest evidence-based clinical enhancements;
ANORAK-HH is an example of such a dynamic, evolving fast-
track.

In conclusion, fulfillment of functional discharge criteria is a
prerequisite for discharge ensuring the patients capability to cope
at home. Underlying reasons for not fulfilling these include both
clinical and organizational factors to be addressed with a focus
on analgesia, orthostatic and muscle function and early physio-
therapy and blood transfusion (if needed).

2.5 Care principles

A fast-track was developed and implemented in September
2003, based on previous studies showing improved outcomes fol-
lowing revisions in pain treatment, mobilization and traditions13,14.
It was called ANORAK-HH (Accelerated New Optimized Ratio-
nalized Arthroplasty Koncept (concept) – Hvidovre Hospital) and
was designed to include all patients admitted for surgery with
THA or TKA including bilaterals and revisions (I). The inten-
tions included a continued high quality of treatment, nursing and
training; patients should experience as little pain as possible after
operation; gain quick ambulation and recovery; a high patient-
satisfaction and no (few) complications/readmissions. Treatment,
care and mobilization should consist of evidence-based interven-
tions combined in a multi-disciplinary set-up including an opti-
mized multi-modal pain treatment, efficient and focused nursing
and early mobilization. The basic idea was to first do it better,
then quicker and a reduction in LOS would only be relevant pro-
vided the patient’s outcome was unaltered or increased regard-
ning the surgery performed and satisfaction with the stay, without
increasing complications or readmissions and unaltered discharge
criteria were met (sooner).

From the beginning, ANORAK was a more time-based fast-
track with an intended maximum LOS of 5 days and later it shifted
towards a combination of time-based and value-based fast-track;
i.e. both an intended maximum LOS (lowered to 3 days and now
1-2 days) and the only value being fulfillment of the functional
discharge criteria. The philosophy has remained simple: informa-
tion on intended max. LOS; information provided at a multi-dis-
ciplinary pre-admission patient-clinic; the patient motivated to be
an active participant; establishing a specialized ward with a dedi-
cated specialized staff; and finally standardization and rational-
zation of all procedures. The tasks performed by the nurses have
shifted from more traditional nursing towards more interactive
participation with the patient and tasks now include information
and motivation of the patients, first mobilization of the patients
following surgery, evaluation of fulfillment of discharge criteria
and subsequent discharging the patient, etc.

To ensure standardization and rationalization, a detailed
description of all procedures performed by nurses and assistants,
physiotherapists, surgeons etc. has been completed in a set of
care principles, which are updated regularly to include changes
in the clinical (evidence-based) and logistical (practical) set-up.
The fast-track protocol described in (I) has thus changed from
then till now regarding: information on intended maximum LOS
(from 5 to now 1-2 days); use of regional anaesthesia in the form
of spinal only to all patients regardless of procedure (earlier com-
bined with epidural to TKA); pain treatment (a broader multi-
modal pain-treatment protocol is now in use including LIA); use of bladder catheter is now abandoned. Optimization of logistical features include preoperative training with crutches, short stays in the PACU, radiographs to be taken when leaving the PACU before arriving in the ward, and evaluation of discharge criteria twice daily. Along with the changes in care-principles, LOS has been reduced in increments (I, II, IV, V, VIII, IX) from 3.8 days to now about 2 days.\textsuperscript{28, 29, 31-33, 47, 104, 106, 107, 111, 112, 117-126} The most recent used care principles from ANORAK-HH are summarized in Table 3.

Parameters, which have been continuously monitored from the beginning, include LOS, readmissions, patient satisfaction and as a secondary parameter: the economy – these are coherent with the ones most frequently assessed in previous studies\textsuperscript{127} (apart from number of patients being discharged to home, which in Denmark approaches 100%). The first 3 parameters are easy to measure and ensure a patient-based assurance of quality and allows for prompt interception should one of the parameters indicate a negative change. One example hereof was the detection of an increase in readmissions due to suspicion of infection and DVT, which was not found. However, as this cause for readmission turned out to be the number 1 reason for readmission – and made up for half of the total number of readmissions following THA and TKA (IV) – the decision was made to establish an additional out-patient clinic manned by nursing staff offering the patients removal of clamps/sutures and the opportunity to have an expert opinion on the wound/affected leg – if necessary by a surgeon as well.

Another aspect of ANORAK is the high degree of continuity – both from a patient point of view as from a staff point of view. The majority of tasks are placed at the arthroplasty ward, where out-patient clinics are situated (surgeon and nurse: both referrals and postoperative), all paperwork is completed here, and the multidisciplinary patient-clinic takes place here – all involving the same surgical and nursing staff. This is believed to result in a high degree of satisfaction from both patients and staff – and to reduce anxiety by the first.

Other fast-track set-ups exist, but detailed description of the contents is relatively rare.\textsuperscript{12, 110, 128-130} As the most recent Cochrane review on “Multidisciplinary rehabilitation programmes following joint replacement at the hip and knee in chronic arthropathy” (2008)\textsuperscript{131} could only identify 5 randomized controlled studies on fast-track versus traditional care, it seems paramount to describe the fast-track set-up in detail in order to allow for comparison.

ANORAK is the formalized attempt to describe the combined, standardized optimization of organizational aspects applicable, available and possible at Hvidovre Hospital with evidence-based optimized clinical features (Table 3). The registration of outcome parameters allows for evaluation of which of the registered variables make a difference, including patient-related characteristics.

Thus, in conclusion, care principles detailing procedures are essential to ensure continuation, standardization and rationalization of both clinical and organizational aspects and are fundamental for the outcomes regarding LOS, satisfaction, readmissions and economy.

### 2.6 Patient-related characteristics

Many factors may influence LOS: some are clinical, some organizational, with traditions potentially affecting both – and

### Table 3. Care principles, patient-related characteristics and satisfaction parameters of ANORAK-HH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information</th>
<th>Oral and written information on intended LOS of 1–2 days and motivation of the patient to be active.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff and logistics</td>
<td>Dedicated staff. Physiotherapy once a day at weekdays. Nurse staffing identical to that of rest of ward.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAD</td>
<td>Use of regional analgesia: spinal exclusively for all patients (primary, bilateral, revision). LIA for TKA (primary, bilateral, and revision).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood transfusion</td>
<td>Preoperative loading: paracetamol 2 g, gabapentin 600 mg followed by paracetamol 2 g x 2. Celebrex 200 mg x 2 and gabapentin 300 mg + 600 mg for 6 days; opioids in form of morphine 10–20 mg upon request only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drains</td>
<td>Not used (no effect and increases the sickness role).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge criteria</td>
<td>Unchanged; the patients must be independent regarding personal care, able to walk with crutches or better, able to get in and out of bed, and into and up from a chair/toilet. Sufficient pain treatment (VAS &lt; 5) and accept of discharge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring*</td>
<td>Patient characteristics: age, sex, living situation, weight, height, body mass index (BMI), smoking, American Society of Anaesthesiology (ASA) score, pre- and postoperative hemoglobin, preoperative level of Hgb. and clinical manifestation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization</td>
<td>Antithrombotic prophylaxis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAD</td>
<td>Factor Xa inhibitor (Rivaroxaban), starting 6 h postoperatively and given only until discharge in patients mobilized within 24 hours and for 10 days (TKA) and 35 days (THA) in patients not able to mobilize within 24 hours.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAD</td>
<td>Discharge criteria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>22 patient characteristics and 11 patient satisfaction parameters were registered in a database in order to monitor the stays continuously.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
then there are patient characteristics: traits and factors associated with each individual. The set-up of the individual fast-track will of course determine whether or not these characteristics come into play – if the fast-track was to plan with a LOS of, say, 10 days, virtually every single patient would be able to achieve this, and looking at patient characteristics would be obsolete.

In the ANORAK-HH fast-track set-up (I), 712 consecutive, unselected patients operated with primary, unilateral THA and TKA had patient characteristics and perioperative parameters registered and correlated to LOS and patient satisfaction. 92% of the patients were discharged to their homes within 5 days, and 41% were discharged within 3 days. A number of patient characteristics were found to influence postoperative outcome regarding LOS by multivariate backwards logistic regression analyses: Age: for each year of age, the probability of staying longer than 3 days increased by 2.4%; Sex: women had around 40% greater probability of staying more than 3 days compared to men; Living situation: patients living alone had 25% greater probability of staying more than 3 days than those who lived with a spouse; Preoperative use of walking aids: patients with had 40% greater probability of staying longer than 3 days; Weekday of surgery: patients operated at the end of the week had greater risk of staying longer than 3 days; ASA-score: patients with a score of 1 and 2 had 60% and 20%, respectively, probability of staying less than 3 days compared to ASA 3; Blood transfusion: patients requiring blood transfusions had 340% probability of staying longer than 3 days compared to those without. Bivariate (but not multivariate) analyses also found pre- and postoperative hemoglobin levels (Spearman) and time from surgery till first mobilization (Mann-Whitney) to be associated with LOS. Other studies have also found associations between age116, 132-135, female sex134-136, living situation134, 137; co-morbidities133-135, 138 and LOS, whereas it was a tie regarding ASA-score116, 138 and LOS, as in (I). Living situation may reflect a more complex underlying mechanism as a study found patients living alone to be older, with greater co-morbidity and more joint pain and dysfunction before surgery and at 3 months and 1 year after surgery – predicting a poorer outcome139. Differences in muscular strength and gait-pattern between patients with and without walking aids may explain the association with longer LOS for the first group of patients133, 138.

The implications of identifying patient characteristics influencing LOS in a fast-track set up with a mean LOS of 3.8 days are obvious and triple: a) it allows for identifying subgroups of patients who need special attention in order to achieve equally well as the majority of patients; b) it allows for addressing and improving clinical situations to alleviate the impact of the characteristic (i.e. weekday of surgery, blood transfusions, and early mobilization); and c) it allows for optimized planning of bed occupancy/patient flow.

These findings demonstrate the importance of registering data in order to be able to act (Plan-Do-Study-Act, the Deming circle)140. As age, sex, living situation and preoperative use of walking aids are all given, they cannot be addressed. However, co-morbidities (which may influence ASA) can be optimized pre-operatively; weekday of surgery can be placed at the beginning of the week (especially for the older patients, whose advanced age may increase LOS); blood loss leading to blood transfusions can be minimized (Tranexamic acid146, 147, fibrin sealants, bipolar sealers, etc. etc.); and patients can be motivated for early mobilization (facilitated by clinical enhancements in pain treatment).

Patient-related characteristics may also on a larger scale – and not within the fast-track methodology – influence outcome. A study on 222,684 patients operated with TKA found predictors for complications to include (among others) age, gender, race/ethnicity, a co-morbidity index, and insurance type and could predict morbidity and mortality rates depending on the combination of these factors141. Correspondingly, a similar study on 138,399 THA patients identified a co-morbidity score, diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, advanced age, male gender, and black race to increase risk of complications142. A study on preoperative pain, co-morbidities and late postoperative pain following TKA demonstrated the number of co-morbidities to predict the presence of pain at 2 years follow-up143. Also, the study found (catastrophic) preoperative pain scores to predict chronic postoperative pain. These findings highlight the importance of identifying subgroups of patients needing special attention. A review, nevertheless, concluded that despite identification of patient-related characteristics influencing outcome negatively, all subgroups benefitted from improving clinical situations to alleviate the impact of the characteristic (i.e. weekday of surgery, blood transfusions, and early mobilization); and c) it allows for optimized planning of bed occupancy/patient flow.

In conclusion, patient-related characteristics may affect both LOS and satisfaction but also morbidity and mortality, thus calling for identification of and addressing subgroups of patients needing special attention in order to improve their outcome.

2.7 Traditions

Undocumented traditions in perioperative care after THA and TKA are often passed on as gold standard. Traditions may have a powerful influence on outcome as they may be good, neutral and harmful; influencing pain, infection, blood loss, mobilization, LOS, thromboembolic prophylaxis, and cost. However, evidence-based medicine in the form of fast-track, offering the best available documented treatment, may interfere with (harmful) traditions. It is therefore essential to re-visit traditions or routines once in a while and re-evaluate current practice according to existing evidence145. An example of a potentially good tradition (because evidence, however scarce, supports it) is the use of a separate skin knife as a study found a 90% potential reduction in contami-
nation of the deeper layers by changing the blade\textsuperscript{146}.

Some of the more common traditions include the following, which all have limited or no evidence or may even be deleterious: a) **preoperative hair removal** to reduce postoperative infection rate is found to have no effect and hair removal with a razor to produce more infections than clipping or depilatory cream\textsuperscript{147}; b) **plastic adhesive drapes** (with or without Iodine) does not reduce infection rates, on the contrary, as more patients in the adhesive drape group developed a surgical site infection\textsuperscript{148}; c) **drains** increase the blood loss and transfusions with no impact on infection, hematoma or reoperations\textsuperscript{149}; d) **bladder catheter** routinely is not recommended as it could cause unnecessary problems in 68\% of patients\textsuperscript{150}; e) **compression stockings** do not give supplementary prophylaxis regarding PE or death when pharmacological prophylaxis is already instituted but may reduce DVT\textsuperscript{151}; f) **CPM** only improves ROM with 3 degrees which is clinically irrelevant\textsuperscript{152}; g) **discharge criteria** following TKA do not need to include flexion > 90 degrees as 60 degrees has been demonstrated not to cause extra health service use\textsuperscript{153}; h) **minimally invasive surgery (MIS)** – although barely a tradition yet – is demonstrated to give better early ROM and functional recovery after TKA initially and no effect on THA with more wound healing problems and infections for the first and more lesions to the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve for the last\textsuperscript{154, 155}; i) **tourniquet in TKA** does not reduce total blood loss and may cause swelling and impair early functional recovery\textsuperscript{154, 155} and does not reduce the number of lateral releases if applied with the knee flexed\textsuperscript{156}. As tourniquet pain is realized as pain different from knee pain, especially following introduction of the LIA technique most often resulting in very little or no pain from the knee itself, great interest is in reducing this sometimes excruciating pain. One simple method is to reduce the cuff pressure as 100 mm Hg above the systolic pressure is sufficient for a blood less surgical field and has been demonstrated to reduce the cuff pressure as 100 mm Hg above the systolic pressure is sufficient for a blood less surgical field and has been demonstrated to reduce the blood loss of 1.5 L and a 12.6\% blood transfusion rate\textsuperscript{162}. Wanting to avoid the corresponding longer LOS (I), fibrin sealants and bipolar sealers could be explored as both have shown promising results although in very few and small studies with a reduction in blood loss and equal clinical outcome\textsuperscript{163, 164}. However, only the bipolar sealer has the ability to keep the surgical field dry and avoiding tourniquet application.

In conclusion, fast-track surgery should exclude traditions supported by limited or no evidence but request randomized controlled studies comparing modalities to clarify their potential value. Fast-track is more like a philosophy attempting to incorporate evidence-based clinical enhancements dynamically by constantly evaluating and replacing features with more efficient ones. Patients should always count on and expect to receive the best available treatment resulting in a high degree of satisfaction with the outcome.

### 2.8 Patient expectations and satisfaction

A review regarding the outcomes of THA and TKA as evaluated by health-related quality-of-life instruments found the procedures to be quite effective and THA appears to return patients to function to a greater extent than TKA\textsuperscript{165}. However, patients have different expectations as to outcome following THA and TKA and these may influence satisfaction. A study found expectation of complete pain relief after surgery to be an independent predictor of better physical function and improvement in level of pain at 6 months post-surgery; and expectation of low risk of complications was an independent predictor of greater satisfaction\textsuperscript{166}. Another study found 18.6\% of patients not satisfied with their arthroplasty at one year and predictors hereof was the pre-operative SF-12 mental component score, depression and pain in other joints, the six-month SF-12 score and poorer improvement in the pain element of the Oxford Knee Score. Patient expectations were highly correlated with satisfaction\textsuperscript{167}. Supporting these data are other studies finding lower preoperative SF-36 mental health score to independently predict patient dissatisfaction – regardless of Western Ontario McMaster University Osteoarthritis Index\textsuperscript{168}.

Achieving satisfied patients during hospitalization is essential: apart from being an indicator of the quality of care delivered, it may inflict positively on the overall outcome as shown in a recent study on satisfaction with care after THA and TKA as this predicts self-perceived health status 1 year after surgery with higher scores on the SF-36\textsuperscript{169}. Thus, measuring patient satisfaction in the perioperative phase is in itself an important outcome but also predictive of the intermediate and long-term outcome. Perioperative satisfaction is found to be associated with a number of factors (I); most importantly: the shorter the LOS, the more the patients were satisfied with information, nursing, pain treatment, doctors rounds, LOS and the entire stay (I). The older patients were more satisfied – and 2 subgroups of patients needing special attention were identified:
patients with co-morbidities were less satisfied with both pain treatment and LOS, and patients using opioids prior to the operation were less satisfied with the entire stay. Although these outcomes were obtained prior to the inclusion of LIA and the more extensive multimodal pain treatment, data show a need to focus on pain treatment for these 2 subgroups. A study on patients with co-morbidities (3 or more) following THA and TKA revealed a lack in continuity of care of co-morbidities resulting in reduced well-being and delayed recovery.

Overall, a patient satisfaction of 9.4 of 10 was achieved with median values for all satisfaction measurements of 10, indicating a high degree of satisfaction in unselected consecutive primary THA and TKA patients with this fast-track set-up. Similar results regarding satisfaction have been found in patients following ANORAK in bilateral simultaneous TKA (VI) and non-septic revision TKA (VII) and in other studies. Again, the logistical set-up may influence outcome – as an earlier study on satisfaction found patients to be more satisfied when doctors rounds was performed by the operating surgeon ensuring continuity. In a nationwide study, patients from departments with short LOS were equally or more satisfied on all parameters compared to patients from hospitals with longer stays (III) – reassuring staff and administrators alike that it is not LOS per se but rather the contents of the track making a difference.

Other factors than early perioperative satisfaction may affect later outcome as a study demonstrated an association between good satisfaction at 2 years and absence of complications, absence of depression, body mass index less than 27kg/m², severe joint space narrowing before operation, and age greater or equal to 70 years. Three of these factors can be assessed before surgery (age greater than 70 years, absence of obesity, and severe joint space narrowing) and although not in themselves usable to pinpoint right candidates for operation, they may together with other criteria such as mental status (depression) be indicative of subsequent patient satisfaction with the outcome. Apart from manifest depression, a variety of psychological factors could be predictive of a poor outcome; low self-efficacy, poor pain coping strategies, somatization, and low social support etc. Educational level has also been found to be associated with a good outcome 1 year after THA.

Expectations, mental state (depression), patient-characteristics, and pain thus seem to predict and influence satisfaction the most. As surgeons we have to modulate and manage patient expectations as to what to expect from surgery in order not to have a mismatch between expectations and outcome leading to potential dissatisfaction. High, possibly unrealistic expectations of TKA have been found common and rooted in both patient-related factors as different scoring systems. As expectations may differ between patients from different countries as well, the problem of managing expectations is indeed multi-factorial. Information on what to expect should be part of the preparation of the patients before surgery and has been demonstrated to result in more realistic expectations regarding outcome and increased satisfaction at 3 months.

Subsequent, patient satisfaction may depend on a variety of factors – including uncertainty versus thorough information on what to expect. The latter demonstrated by the occurrence of noise, numbness and kneeling difficulties in 69–82% of TKA patients, which patients were not informed on and leading to dissatisfaction.

In conclusion, modulating patient expectations may inflict on satisfaction, which again may result in higher satisfaction a year after surgery. Short LOS following fast-track surgery has not been found to impair satisfaction; on the contrary and patient satisfaction should be measured to give a patient-based assurance of quality.
3. Organizational aspects

Fast-track surgery combines optimized organizational and clinical aspects from patients being admitted till discharge. This perioperative period may be stretched in both directions to include the pre-hospital and post-discharge periods as well but the evidence on team and service outcome is lacking. Ideally, the patient with co-morbidities has these accompanying diseases optimized before referral, is well-medicated, has a proper diagnosis with standing fully weight-bearing radiographs, etc. Following discharge, the patients should experience a smooth transition from hospital to general practitioners, the latter being fully informed on the postoperative course, multimodal pain treatment, when to react (infection, DVT, indication for manipulation) etc. These factors in the healthcare system surrounding the hospital will vary and it is up to the hospital to inform its colleagues on how to improve this collaboration if needed. However, the following section on organizational aspects of fast-track THA and TKA will focus on the perioperative course in hospital only as this environment can be standardized for the benefit of the patients to ensure optimal outcome.

3.1. Implementation

A prerequisite for successful implementation of a fast-track set-up is preparation. Focus needs to be on the current path (or lack thereof) regarding outcome and identification of which factors one desires optimized. Again, the Deming circle of Plan-Do-Study-Act is useful starting with a planning phase in which one reads, listen to talks and visits departments with a fast-track set-up up and running. Then one decides on which clinical and organizational aspects to include; the clinical features should be evidence-based and are thus “universal” whereas the organizational set-up may depend on local factors. Physical environment, economy, presence of other specialties and collaboration, quick access to radiographic documentation, traditions etc. may present challenges or barriers to overcome. Organizing some form of outcome measurement to study is important in order to document the changes and for reassurance: LOS, complications/readmission and patient satisfaction are easily accessed and provide the basic for quality controlling of the fast-track. Next is the evaluation phase (Act) to evaluate if the goal was achieved and then set a new one. The discharge criteria are key: they should be functional and unaltered. The current LOS should dictate which organizational or clinical features to focus on. If LOS is in excess of around 7 days, focus should be on organization; if LOS is between 4 and 7 days also clinical features should be optimized (anaesthesia, pain treatment, blood loss, etc.) and only when LOS is below 3-4 days fine-tuning of the organizational and clinical (primarily pain treatment and mobilization) features makes sense.

As experiences were gathered from ANORAK-HH (I), LOS was reduced locally in our department to around 4 days. In Denmark in the same period, LOS was 8–9 days following THA and TKA (2003). In this context, a prospective nationwide study (IIII) identified logistical and clinical areas of importance for LOS by identifying departments with short and long LOS and evaluating their set-up. The three departments with the shortest (around 4 days) and the three with the longest (around 12 days) LOS were identified based on the National Register on Patients in 2004 on LOS following THA and TKA. The logistical and clinical set-up was examined to identify factors acting as facilitators or barriers for early rehabilitation and discharge. Also, patients from these departments answered a questionnaire regarding satisfaction with components of their stay, co-morbidity, sex and age.

Departments with short LOS were characterized by both logistical (homogenous entities, regular staff, high continuity, using more time on and up to date information including expectations on a short stay, functional discharge criteria) and clinical features (multimodal opioid sparing analgesia, early mobilisation and discharge when criteria were met) facilitating quick rehabilitation and discharge. Patient demographics from departments with the shortest stay were similar regarding age, sex and co-morbidities compared to patients from departments with longer stays. There was no difference in staffing (nurses/physiotherapists) between the two types of departments.

With regards to the implementation procedure of ANORAK-HH (I), this was headed by a few dedicated staff members (surgeons, nurses) (III). Thorough information was shared with all members of the team to ensure a uniform approach and areas of special interest were given to individuals upon request (teaching at multidisciplinary patient clinics; optimization, documentation and updating of care principles; pain; assisting in consultant outpatient clinic and preoperative assessment clinics; manning of the nurse outpatient clinic; etc.) – in order to strengthen ownership to the concept and motivate team spirit. The uniform approach includes principles of mobilization, pain treatment, motivation and information of patients. A special ward was established admitting only arthroplasty patients; only regular staff was used securing a high degree of continuity; the functional discharge criteria and intended LOS made known to both staff and patients. Multiple and repeated meetings with all involved specialties (surgeons, nursing staff, physiotherapists, porters, occupational therapists, anesthesiologists, radiologists, theater nurses, and nurses from PACU) were and are being held in order to constantly improve the organization around the patient to optimize flow and
minimize waiting time.

A database was established registering 22 patient characteristics and 11 parameters on satisfaction: patient clinic, information (booklets), operating room stay, recovery ward stay, nursing, pain-treatment, physiotherapy, surgeon rounds, physical conditions, LOS and the entire stay (VAS 0–10 on all parameters, 10 being the best), Table 3.

In addition, all procedures – clinical and logistical – were evaluated: the former for evidence, the latter for practicality. When optimized, all procedures were rationalized and standardized.

Thus, the cornerstones of the fast-track set-up are the: information on intended max. LOS, multi-disciplinary pre-admission patient-clinic, motivation of the patient to be an active participant, dedicated specialized staff, and rationalization and standardization.

In conclusion, implementation of fast-track includes evaluation of the existing set-up and depending on this, various actions may apply. Studies have identified both clinical and organizational factors associated with departments with short LOS.

3.2. Department

A specialized ward with a fast-track set-up (I, III) may result in shorter LOS compared to departments with more conventional pathways and a case-mix including acute patients or with conventional pathways with only elective orthopedic surgery. Other benefits include patients being among only similar patients sharing experiences and not being disturbed by the more noisy acute setting with admittance of patients around the clock. Also, the specialization of the nursing staff is beneficiary in this regard as they accumulate knowledge and experience. Although a regular satisfaction survey has not been performed among the nursing staff, the very low morbidity among staff may be indicative of a high degree of satisfaction (III). Continuation in the form of daily doctors rounds by the operating surgeon is also facilitated by this set-up and does increase patient satisfaction.

All patients are admitted in the morning on the day of surgery as there is no need for the patients to be hospitalized before the operation. DVT prophylaxis is best initiated 6–8 hours after the operation and preoperative blood sampling is reduced to the necessary and does not include matching of blood for the routine primary THA or TKA. Patients pausing anticoagulants are not operated as number 1 on the program, which leaves plenty of time to draw a fresh blood sample to secure the International Normalized Ratio (INR) to be within the appropriate range.

As a study demonstrated patients who were operated in the end of the week to stay longer than patients operated on at the beginning of the week (I) due to organizational factors (less staffing in weekends), it was decided to only operate on arthroplasty patients Monday to Wednesday. Other benefits included higher satisfaction as patients were seen only by their surgeon and also the ward was reduced to being open only Monday through Friday. Apart from economic savings, this was allowing the nursing staff not to work weekends and is believed to be appreciated by the staff.

The volume of patients per year passing through the department and the volume of the individual surgeons affiliated with the department may influence LOS as well. A very large study looking at patient- and provider-characteristics demonstrated an association between individual surgical volume (<67 TKA and <50 THA per year) or hospital volume (<101 TKA and <65 THA) and LOS with cutting-off limits in brackets for poorer performance regarding LOS – the latter association was also found in other studies including a Danish nationwide study.

In conclusion, a ward specialized in and admitting only arthroplasty patients may facilitate fast-track; organizational aspects including day of surgery and also volume may affect LOS.

3.3. Staff

The staff involved in fast-track surgery should have a uniform approach towards giving the patients the evidence-based care principles. Dedicated leaders should be front-runners. As fast-track is a multidisciplinary team-effort, dedicated representatives from each involved group of staff and specialty should form a team of decision-makers. In reorganizing the perioperative care process the team members can improve logistics by gaining insight into each other’s fields and thus plan the best possible flow for the patients through the organization and process. A study on the relation between the organization of care processes and patient outcomes in THA and TKA measured by the validated Care Process Self Evaluation Tool (five subscales: (i) patient-focused organization; (ii) coordination of the care process; (iii) communication with patients and family; (iv) collaboration with primary care; and (v) follow-up of the care process) found an effect on LOS by use of fast-track, coordination hereof, and communication with patients and family. Also, the impact of the concept of relational coordination between team members on the quality of care, postoperative pain and functioning, and LOS was studied. It was found that relational coordination between staff members improved quality of care (judged by the patients), postoperative pain, reduced LOS and that postoperative functioning was improved by the frequency of communication, the strength of shared goals, and the degree of mutual respect among team members. The interpersonal manner of medical practitioners and nurses were found to be associated with patient satisfaction in another study. Thus, optimization of organizational issues by dedicated staff working together in relational coordination and informing the patients in a kind, clear way will influence the functional recovery and satisfaction of the patients. It is worth noting that the surgeon’s attitude and interpersonal manner was judged more important by the patients than the nurse’s interpersonal manner.

In conclusion, the combined multi-disciplinary staff efforts of
fast-track may inflict positively on LOS, satisfaction and pain perception, especially if reflecting relational coordination.

3.4. Information

Preoperative information on the upcoming perioperative track and expected outcome is essential and serves multiple purposes. Apart from the basic information on the intended operation, the associated benefits and risks (which is also mandatory by law), more detailed information should be available. First, patients will need to know the intended maximum LOS and the discharge criteria in order to be motivated to participate actively and get an understanding of the contents of the fast-track facilitating adherence. Second, expectations should be modulated preoperatively as this may produce more satisfied patients. Third, preoperative information may reduce anxiety.

In order to inform unbiased, the surgeon should give evidence-based information including on what to expect during early recovery. A study on patient abilities at 4–5 weeks postoperatively following a mean LOS of 2 days demonstrated that 80% of patients walked without a cane, 54% drove a car, and around 90% already found the operated knee well functioning. Less pain was experienced, which was confirmed in a fast-track study on sub acute pain. Another study found the patients’ preoperative expectation to be higher than their postoperative ability. 93% of the patients were generally satisfied 5 years postoperatively, while 87% were satisfied with the relief of pain and 80% with their improvement in physical function at that time – indicating that satisfaction is not equivalent to fulfilled expectations. It is concluded that: “preoperative counseling should include realistic information on outcomes concerning physical function and pain relief.”

Information in fast-track settings are often provided during group sessions apart from individual counseling. A nationwide study found fast-track departments to use 2–3 times the amount of time on preparing/informing the patients on the upcoming hospitalization compared to departments with more conventional stays. This resulted in higher satisfaction among THA patients. However, it was also found that no difference regarding satisfaction existed within the fast-track setting between patients attending the preoperative patient seminar and those who did not. This is probably due to the fact that it is not the seminar in itself but rather the sum of information given during the (short) stay resulting in increased satisfaction. This finding is in accordance with a Cochrane review stating that there is no evidence to support the use of pre-operative education over and above standard care to improve postoperative outcomes (pain, function or LOS) in THA and TKA patients, but a modest beneficial effect on preoperative anxiety and none on postoperative anxiety. This is not to say that preoperative information does not make a difference and if nothing else it may modulate patients’ expectations, educate the accompanying relatives and constitute an efficient way to inform patients in plenary sessions and also strengthen the relational coordination between staff members and finally show good interpersonal manners of all participating staff members. Also, written information in an easy read language on each day and activity may be part of a fast-track and may also address the support of family and friends.

Thus, in conclusion, information should be extensive on the upcoming track and outcome and can be given in plenum at a multidisciplinary preoperative patient seminar, but should not be expected to reduce LOS, pain or satisfaction.

3.5. Discharge criteria and traditions

Achievement of functional discharge criteria should result in subsequent discharge – without organizational issues causing a delay. Thus, waiting for radiographs to be taken, blood transfusions to be given, establishment of home-based help or care to be effective, etc. should be avoided by securing these logistical features in due time. A detailed study on why patients are hospitalized following fast-track with LOS median 2 days and optimized logistics revealed such organizational factors to remain a challenge from operation till discharge for at least 18% of patients. Also, waiting for the surgeon to arrive to discharge the patient the traditional way by next morning’s surgical rounds makes no sense when the discharge criteria are known beforehand by both nursing staff and patient and fulfilled in the afternoon. These organizational issues illuminate the interaction between clinical and organizational factors influencing LOS. If functional discharge criteria are fulfilled, organizational lackings may delay actual discharge high-lighting the importance of a well organized expeditious flow regarding fulfillment of logistical “milestones”.

Traditions may influence this process tremendously – both locally as well as nationwide. Local traditions may come into play regarding when to start mobilization out of bed, when to allow full weight-bearing when to begin physiotherapy, when to change walking aids to crutches, when to take radiographs, when to have the occupational therapist to deliver aids to ease the home-based situation etc. etc. Nationwide or cultural traditions may dictate a certain LOS regardless of faster functional recovery and fulfillment of discharge criteria (if any). A nationwide study from Japan around 2007 found a mean LOS following TKA of 35 days as opposed to about 6-12 days in Germany and the UK and 3-4 days in the USA and Denmark (unpublished data). LOS from some of these studies may not include transferral to rehabilitation facilities, potentially influencing outcome negatively as more readmissions have been found from skilled nursing facilities than from home.

In conclusion, organizational issues should be resolved before fulfillment of functional discharge criteria to avoid these or traditions to cause a delay in subsequent discharge.
4. Safety aspects

Safety aspects following fast-track include morbidity and mortality, the first in the form of complications and readmissions. LOS, safety and satisfaction are key outcomes and may affect each other. Upon changing a perioperative course, it is mandatory to have evaluation of safety aspects as top priority along with patient satisfaction.

As fast-track surgery includes optimization of clinical features in the form of application of evidence-based enhancements, no negative influence on morbidity or mortality is expected. Receiving the best available treatment leads to achievement of functional milestones including discharge criteria more rapidly than following conventional treatment (based in greater extent on non-evidence based treatment and traditions). However, the subsequent reduction in LOS would turn a complication immediately following discharge into a potential readmission whereas the same procedure-specific complication occurring at the same time following conventional treatment with longer LOS would not be registered as a readmission but handled during the index hospitalization. Such a readmission is not due to fast-track surgery in itself and does not justify longer hospitalization.

4.1 Morbidity/readmissions

A detailed study on readmissions following fast-track surgery (ANORAK-HH) in a consecutive, unselected cohort study of 1,731 patients operated with primary THA or TKA found mean LOS to decrease from 6.3 to 3.1 days in the study period of 5 years from 2003 to 2008. Within 90 days, 15.6% of patients following TKA were readmitted as opposed to 10.9% after THA (p = 0.005). Suspicion of DVT (not found) and suspicion of infection made up half of the readmissions. Readmissions in general and for thromboembolic events, dislocations and manipulations in specific did not increase with decreasing LOS. It is concluded, that fast-track does not increase readmission rate following THA and TKA compared to other studies on more conventional stays and fast-track is thus considered safe regarding morbidity. Readmission rates of 15.6% and 10.9% may at first glance appear high, especially when compared to the rates of 7.9% and 6.8% registered earlier (September 2003–December 2005) (I), but the definition of a readmission was broadened in (IV) to include other diagnoses including medical.

Comparison of readmission rates between studies is difficult as readmissions are defined differently and include varying diagnoses in the published studies and also depends on the thresholds for readmission by patients and physicians, presence of co-morbidities, access to diagnostic tools, beds, the completion of follow-up, etc. Also, the same complication may be treated in an outpatient setting in one institution and during readmission in another (i.e. suspicion of DVT or wound infection). Half the readmissions in (IV) were due to suspicion of DVT or infection and removing those diagnoses, produces readmission rates of 8.0% for TKA and 7.3% for THA, which are comparable to or lower than other studies both on fast-track and following conventional stays. In order to reduce these unnecessary readmissions by the general practitioners, a nurse-manned out-patient clinic was established 3 weeks postoperatively offering all patients the opportunity to have their wound clamps removed, the wound inspected and to ask questions. However, a recent meta-analysis concluded that no study comparing a clinical pathway with standard care has shown an increased rate of readmission in general with hospitalization ranging from about 3.2 to 12.8 days.

Concerns regarding specific readmissions in the form of dislocation in THA and manipulation in TKA have been aired. Dislocation rates around 3.9–4.5% have been found with the posterior approach. A study found in increase in dislocation rate from 0.5% to 3.9% when LOS was reduced from 6.3 to 3.9 days and concern was expressed and shared with another study on more readmissions as LOS is decreasing. Too short a period of practicing restrictions was estimated to be the cause. Contrasting this, the study demonstrated a decrease in dislocation with a similar reduction in LOS from 6.3 to 3.9 days and could thus not support an increased rate of dislocation when LOS is reduced. In support of this, a study on 2612 THAs followed for a mean of 14 months found a dislocation rate of 0.15% - without any restrictions at all. As large-diameter heads are introduced more frequently in THA, the dislocation rate may decrease to an even lower level as a study found 1/2020 THAs to dislocate using heads >36 mm for a rate of 0.05%. Also, 2 studies found the rate of manipulation after TKA to increase: from 6 to 14% while reducing LOS from 7.2 to 4.2 days and from 6 to 12% while reducing LOS from 6.4 to 4.4 days. The indication for manipulation was similar to ours (< 90 degrees of flexion around 6 weeks). However, no cause was identified for this increase and it was concluded that from an economical point of view, an increase in LOS in order to avoid manipulations could not be justified. Since we found no increase in manipulations when LOS was reduced from 4.6 to 3.1 days and, as the rate of manipulation was 1% for the period, it is concluded that fast-track TKA does not increase the risk of manipulation. With the focus on early multi-modal pain treatment including the LIA technique and techniques for reducing intra-articular bleeding, rates of manipulation due to arthrofibrosis may be further reduced.
Thus, in conclusion, fast-track does not produce more complications – nor in general nor in specific (dislocation, manipulation, thromboembolic episodes) – and does not result in an increased number of readmissions.

4.2 Mortality

Mortality following fast-track THA and TKA has been studied (IV, V). From 2004 to 2008, 14 patients died within 90 days of surgery: 3 in 2004 [mesenterial thrombosis (89 days), myocardial infarction (2 days), sepsis/pneumonia (27 days)]; 3 in 2005 [hepatic cirrhosis and coma (28 days), perforated colonic cancer (51 days), sudden death (1 day, no autopsy)]; 3 in 2006 [metastatic lung cancer (77 days), dysregulated warfarin treatment (resulting in massive bleeding) for atrial fluttering (50 days), perforated gastric ulcer (22 days)]; 4 in 2007 [cardiomyopathy and failure (89 days), lung cancer (10 days), mechanical bowel obstruction (76 days), hepatic cirrhosis with esophageal bleeding (83 days)] and 1 in 2008 [aortic stenosis, pulmonary insufficiency and cardiac failure (19 days)]. Deaths possibly related to postoperative complications following the index arthroplasty operation were: 1 in 2004, 1 in 2005, 1 in 2006, 0 in 2007 and 1 in 2008, giving an overall potential surgery-related mortality rate of 0.35% (IV). Thus, 3 deaths of 1,977 (0.15%) THA, TKA and BSTKA were found to be associated with clotting episodes (V) (0.17% of 1,731 THA and TKA (IV), same material). These incidences of death following fast-track surgery are lower or comparable to other studies on more conventional tracks64, 66, 77-79, 81-85.

In conclusion, fast-track does not increase mortality following THA and TKA compared to more conventional set-ups. This is essential and warrants further detailed studies of mortality in specified sub-groups of patients (i.e. patients with co-morbidities) as high-risk patients may actually benefit also regarding reduced mortality from fast-track.

4.3 Bilateral arthroplasty and revision arthroplasty

Fast-track surgery represents the best available treatment including both clinical and organizational aspects and has shown superior results compared to more conventional stays regarding outcome, patient satisfaction, and safety for primary, unselected TKA and THA (I, II, III, IV, V). Can it also be applied to other patient-groups operated on with bilateral and revision arthroplasty? These operations are more complex and may cause surgical stress to a greater extent including blood loss.

Bilateral simultaneous total knee arthroplasty (BSTKA) is often associated with higher mortality and morbidity rates compared to unilateral total knee arthroplasty (UTKA)203-205. However, as fast-track has shown superior outcomes in unilateral, primary THA and TKA and as no previous study has investigated the outcome of BSTKA in a fast-track setting, a study was undertaken. A prospective cohort study on patients operated with BSTKA analyzed the outcome of 150 consecutive, but selected, BSTKA compared to 271 unilateral total knee arthroplasties in a standardized fast-track setting (VI). Apart from staying longer (mean 4.7 days vs. 3.3 days) and using more blood transfusions; the outcome at 3 months and 2 years follow-up was identical or better in the bilateral group regarding morbidity, mortality, satisfaction, range of motion, pain, use of walking aids, ability to return to work and ability to perform activities of daily living. Bilateral simultaneous total knee arthroplasty can be performed in a fast-track set-up with satisfactory results (VI). Also, safety issues have been studied: in 123 patients operated with BSTKA (246 TKAs) no patient died, no patient developed PE or DVT (V). This is in accordance with another study also finding BSTKA to be safe, with few complications, low mortality, and few VTEs206.

Bilateral simultaneous total hip arthroplasty (BSTHA) is as debated as BSTKA62, 207, 208. In the first and only study on BSTHA in a fast-track setting on 50 consecutive patients with median LOS of 4 days, mortality was 4% and 8% needed a reoperation within 90 days209. The overall complication rate was 22% with around half considered to be caused by the surgical technique per se and half not being related to the surgery. It is concluded that BSTHA may have a high complication rate despite a fast-track setting and that further safety studies in larger series are needed209.

Revision surgery is performed because of varying underlying reasons and often with a less predictable outcome compared to primary arthroplasties. Studies have found LOS following all revisions to be around 5-6 days (however including all revisions and only 35% with all components exchange)205, 210. In non-septic revisions of TKA, the final revision-prosthesis is in place and functioning immediately after surgery and theoretically these patients would benefit from fast-track as well as they can be mobilized right away – but no studies are available except a feasibility study (VII). This prospective cohort study on 29 consecutive, unselected patients operated with 30 revision total knee arthroplasties for non-septic indications in a fast-track setting evaluated whether patients undergoing revision TKA could follow a standardized fast-track set-up designed for primary TKA (ANORAK-HH) with a similar outcome as primary TKA. LOS was median 2 (1-4) days for all but 1 patient who was transferred to another hospital for logistical reasons. No patient died within 3 months and 3 patients were readmitted (2 for suspicion of DVT but not found and one for manipulation). Patient satisfaction was high. It is concluded, that patients undergoing revision TKA for non-septic reasons can follow a fast-track set-up with an outcome comparable to primary TKA regarding LOS, morbidity, and satisfaction.

Thus, in conclusion, fast-track may produce excellent outcomes for other arthroplasty procedures than primary THA and TKA, including bilateral simultaneous total knee arthroplasty and non-septic revision total knee arthroplasty whereas bilateral simultaneous total hip arthroplasty may be associated with com-
plications despite a fast-track set-up.
5. Economic aspects

The change in the reimbursement with DRG-grouping in the USA in the 1980’s put focus on the economy regarding hospital stays associated with THA and TKA – as net losses were noted in some instances. Mabrey et al. (1997) were among the first to describe how a reduction in LOS from 10.9 to 4.7 days (57%) including careful monitoring of different steps (rationalization of radiographs and blood testing) would lead to a reduction in total cost. A correlation was found between LOS and total cost in the control group (generating a net-loss of 863 USD per patient) whereas the CP-group generated a win of 2.334 USD per patient. Early planning of discharge was concluded to be essential allowing the patients to aim at achieving this realizing the biggest savings by reducing LOS from 7 to 5 days. This study was followed by others to document economic savings by reducing LOS. Healy et al. (1997) documented that in patients operated with TKA staying 6 days in hospital, 49% of cost were related to the operating room; 9% to the PACU; 9% to the pharmacy; and only 12% to the ward stay and 4% to cover the physiotherapy. The most expensive day was the day of operation which accounted for 72% of the total costs followed by 8%, 7%, 5%, 3%, and 2%, respectively, for the following days. Also, in another study, 56% of total costs were associated with the operating room and PACU stays; whereas 17% were prosthesis-related costs and 23% associated with the stay in the ward. This study argues for focusing on a reduction of the 56% cost generated the first day as reducing LOS only affects the final less-costly days in hospital. One way of doing so is to reduce the cost associated with the prosthesis and several studies have demonstrated savings by matching implant to patient and negotiations with the manufacturers regarding price reductions. A reduction of operating time has also been demonstrated to affect total costs in a system where the minutes spent in the operating room are priced – a reduction of 54% in cost were achieved as opposed to savings of 16% from a reduction in LOS. The rationalization of medication, blood products, radiographs, anaesthesia and physiotherapy combined to savings of 30% when reducing LOS from 6.7 to 5.7 days.

These studies from USA illustrate the reduction in cost associated with a reduction in LOS to around 5–6 days where focus primarily has been on economy. In 1998, an international survey among 110 orthopedic surgeons from 30 countries found that 80% stated to be under pressure to reduce cost and 68% to reduce LOS. However, from a patient point of view, economic savings come second to improving the outcome. Combining the patient and economic point of views, patients should stay as short as necessary combined with the highest patient satisfaction and the fewest complications and readmissions.

A Danish study compared the outcomes and costs associated with fast-track compared to more conventional stays in departments doing only elective surgery and departments with a case-mix of both arthroplasty and acute surgery. Fast-track surgery had shorter LOS; similar or better outcome regarding readmissions and contacts to the general practitioners and physiotherapists – and reduced costs. Although varying costs were associated with different parts of the perioperative stay, the majority of savings (33-39%) were related to the reduction in LOS – a finding also found by some, but not all. Nevertheless, small or large, economic savings from a reduction in LOS may have a huge impact on total savings. A recent study found that each additional day in a patient’s LOS was associated with an 8% increase in hospital charges – and that a reduction of just one day may have a significant impact on the 11.9 billion USD spent on TKAs in USA in 2003. However, although savings may be huge on a national scale, concern has been aired as to the sustainability of the current DRG-system. One study concludes: “During the last 25 years, our economic experience with TKA is concerning. Hospital revenues have lagged behind inflation, hospital expenses have been reduced, and our institution is earning a profit. However, the margin for TKA is decreasing and Managed Medicare patients do not generate a profit. The erosion of hospital revenue for TKA will become a critical issue if it leads to economic losses for hospitals or reduced access to TKA.” In some countries, including the USA and Germany, reimbursement is reduced if patients are staying shorter than a fixed LOS. This lack of economic incentive could be a serious barrier for further improvement of the fast-track concept and halt further research on clinical enhancement. Two Danish studies have documented that a) more time (2-3 times the amount used in conventional tracks) and b) more money was used on preoperative preparation of the patients in the fast-track set-up compared to one of the more conventional stays indicating the need for further studies on economic aspects – before conclusions are reached regarding the size of reimbursements relying solely on LOS. As no studies have looked at the economics following modern fast-track THA and TKA with LOS around 1-3 days, detailed studies hereof are warranted.

In conclusion, reviews; meta-analyses; and Cochrane reviews on outcomes following fast-track THA and TKA all have found economic savings compared to more conventional set-ups.
6. Conclusions

Fast-track surgery is a dynamic entity evolving with clinical enhancements and concomitant organizational optimization constantly interacting (Figure 1). Outcome measurements in the form of LOS, morbidity/mortality, safety aspects, patient satisfaction and economy among others are essential to monitor outcomes regarding all parameters. The studies included in this thesis use these outcome measurements to ensure a satisfactory clinical outcome for the patients combined with a rationalized patient-friendly organizational flow. Underlying care principles detailing procedures are essential fundamentals for continuation, standardization and rationalization of both clinical and organizational aspects.

A fast-track set-up is described in detail with resulting LOS in primary unselected consecutive THA and TKA and identifying patient-related characteristics associated with LOS and satisfaction (I). LOS was influenced by age, sex, ASA-score, the need for blood transfusion, and the time till first mobilization whereas patient satisfaction was positively associated with short LOS. Also organizational barriers for early discharge were identified (operation at the end of the week). The results of this study allow for identification of subgroups needing special attention and also potentially facilitating planning of bed occupancy. Other patients than primary THA and TKA may enter the fast-track as studies have demonstrated both bilateral simultaneous TKA (VI) and non-septic revision TKA (VII) to have satisfactory outcomes comparable to primary outcomes regarding most parameters. Patients operated with bilateral simultaneous TKA were staying a little longer and using more blood transfusions than a control group of primary unilateral TKA; the outcome at 3 months and 2 years follow-up was identical or better in the bilateral group regarding morbidity, mortality, satisfaction, range of motion, pain, use of walking aids, ability to return to work and ability to perform activities of daily living. Fast-track revision TKA for non-septic reasons resulted in LOS of median 2 days with no

Figure 1. Factors affecting outcome in fast-track THA and TKA
mortality, low morbidity and high patient satisfaction.

Organizational issues acting as facilitators of or barriers for early discharge have been identified as part of a nationwide study on both clinical and organizational features characterizing departments with short LOS (III). Departments with short LOS were characterized by having homogenous entities, regular staff, high continuity, using more time on and up to date information including expectations on a short stay, and functional discharge criteria. Optimized clinical features included multimodal opioid sparing analgesia, early mobilisation and discharge when criteria were met facilitating quick rehabilitation and discharge. Fulfillment of functional discharge criteria is a prerequisite for discharge ensuring the patients capability to cope at home.

Organizational factors such as implementation of fast-track; teaching a dedicated staff to have relational coordination and a uniform approach focusing on information and motivation; and establishment of a ward specialized in and admitting only arthroplasty patients may facilitate fast-track. Other organizational aspects including day of surgery and volume may also affect LOS.

Traditions not supported by evidence may be a challenge to change as these may act as barriers for establishing new evidence-based features subsequently delaying early discharge.

Patient expectation may influence on outcome and modulating patient expectations may inflict on satisfaction, which again may result in higher satisfaction a year after surgery. Short LOS following fast-track surgery has not been found to impair satisfaction – on the contrary and patient satisfaction should be measured to give a patient-based assurance of quality.

Sufficient pain treatment is a key factor in facilitating early functional recovery and enhanced by the use of multimodal opioid sparing analgesia in addition with blockade of the pain originating at the surgical site. Gold standard is a peripheral nerve block following TKA, but LIA may someday be replacing peripheral nerve blocks as the preferred modality as it is safe, efficient and easy to apply. The addition of a compression bandage is improving the analgesia for the first 8 hours in TKA (II). LIA on the other hand was not found to give additional analgesia in THA with the concomitant use of multimodal opioid sparing analgesia and pain scores were low at all times and during all activities (VIII). Glucocorticoids may be added to the multimodal regimen preceded by dose-response and safety studies.

Safety aspects have been studied in detail following fast-track surgery (IV) and (V). As mean LOS decreased in the study period of 5 years, mortality and morbidity remained low demonstrating that dislocations and manipulations in specific did not increase with decreasing LOS. Thromboembolic complications were few with 0.15% mortality from clotting episodes and overall 0.56% clinical DVT and 0.30% PE. In specific, no DVT or PE was found following bilateral simultaneous TKA. These favorable figures were achieved with early mobilization and short prophylaxis till discharge only. The need of extended thromboprophylaxis – if any – is questioned, when patients are mobilized early. Differentiated prophylaxis based on individual risk assessment including timing of mobilization and balancing the risk of bleeding may be optimal. Fast-track does not increase mortality or morbidity following THA and TKA compared to more conventional set-ups.

Although early mobilization reduces LOS and may reduce complications, physiotherapy following THA and TKA is heterogeneous, has limited effect on outcome and may be impaired by muscle weakness partly due to swelling. Efforts to indentify which muscles to stimulate and the best way to do so in combination with counteractions aimed at reducing bleeding and swelling are imperative.

The ultimate question as to why the patient is in hospital following THA or TKA was studied (IX). In order to study and evaluate, fulfillment of functional discharge criteria is a prerequisite for discharge ensuring the patients capability to cope at home. Underlying reasons for not fulfilling these include both clinical and organizational factors to be addressed with a focus on analgesia, orthostatic and muscle function and early physiotherapy and blood transfusion (if needed).

The clinical and logistical factors responsible for postoperative hospitalization showed that pain, dizziness and general weakness were the main reasons for being hospitalized at 24 and 48 hours postoperatively. Nausea, vomiting, confusion and sedation had minimal influence to delay discharge. Logistical challenges were mainly early upstart of physiotherapy (day of surgery) and early transfusion of blood for patients needing this. Future efforts to enhance recovery and reduce LOS after THA and TKA should focus on analgesia, orthostatic and muscle function.

Economic point of views also favor fast-track surgery as reviews, meta-analyses, and Cochrane reviews on outcomes following fast-track THA and TKA all have found economic savings compared to more conventional set-ups.

Thus, many clinical features in the form of combinations of anesthesia, pain-reducing drugs and techniques, mobilization regimens and up-to-date care principles along with optimized logistics, patient education and -motivation, improved surgical techniques etc. combined produce a synergistic result benefitting both patients and economy. Improvements are still possible and warranted and studies hereof needed.
Future strategies are multiple and include both research strategies as efforts to implement the fast-track methodology on a wider basis. It may be difficult, even impossible or unethical, to perform randomized studies on some or all aspects of fast-track, but “well-designed and executed prospective cohort studies can provide high-quality evidence in the evaluation of the effectiveness of surgical interventions”.

Research strategies should include a focusing on further optimization of pain treatment (especially after discharge), blood saving strategies, fluid plans and muscle strengthening – as demonstrated future efforts to enhance recovery and reduce LOS after THA and TKA should focus on analgesia, orthostatic and muscle function.

Focusing on optimizing pain treatment has a dual aim: both to make it more efficient by adding other synergistic analgesic agents to the existing platform of multi-modal opioid-sparing analgesia and reduce side effects (dizziness, sedation, PONV). Also, and in particular, longer lasting effects are warranted to supplement the 6-8 hours of effective pain treatment offered by the LIA technique in TKA – as catheters do not seem to work. Again, other agents may come into play such as capsaicin (the active ingredient in chili pepper) or repeated (small) doses of glucocorticoids. Dose-response and safety studies are needed for glucocorticoids as well as for gabapentin. Prediction of high-pain responders is another interesting area of research as the ability to foresee which patients will need extra attention regarding effective pain treatment is essential; gene testing may be a prerequisite.

Gene testing may also predict which patients are at high risk of developing thromboembolic complications. Identification of high-risk patients may allow for differentiation of prophylaxis and target longer and more extensive prophylaxis at patients in specific need hereof – allowing patients at low risk a less extensive (if any) prophylaxis not exposing unnecessary bleeding risks to this subgroup.

As early mobilization may be prophylactic towards thromboembolic complications (V) and muscle weakness was one of the barriers for early discharge (IX), research efforts should also be directed at improving mobilization. A reduction of perioperative swelling may improve muscle strength and clinical factors aiming at this should be studied (fibrin sealants, bipolar sealers) – as they may also facilitate not using a tourniquet. The tourniquet often produces pain far more severe than from the operated knee and operating without a tourniquet with accompanying low blood loss is desirable. Questions to be answered in doing so include studying the quality of cementation when not using a tourniquet (RSA studies on randomized patients with/without tourniquet). Also, different approaches including MIS with muscle-sparing may prove of value as some muscle-groups are more active during gait. Timing of early mobilization – and amount hereof – depends on both clinical and organizational factors: dosage of analgesics in the spinal, when has the spinal worn off, pain treatment ensuring a smooth transition from central to more peripheral blockade (LIA – has epinephrine any added value?), physiotherapists available at return from PACU etc. and efforts should focus on strategies to allow patients to take full advantage of the potential to begin their early functional recovery. Efforts should also address the contents of physiotherapy as regular strength training – ideally addressing identified specific muscles – may prove to be more beneficial than the previous used regimens.

Orthostatic intolerance has been demonstrated to make early mobilization difficult by impairment of the postoperative postural cardiovascular response by a pathogenic reduction of total peripheral resistance and cardiac output and investigating ways to strengthen the normal response would be of value in improving early functional recovery. Also, avoidance of postoperative cognitive dysfunction is a step towards improving postoperative care and research is ongoing.

Other areas to explore include optimization of ways to inform and motivate the patients – potentially involving a feedback to ensure coverage of areas of importance to the patients. Preoperative evaluation of the psychological profile of patients – and appropriate action if subclinical depression is detected – may improve the outcome regarding the proportion of satisfied patients.

Strategies to improve the organizational flow may be warranted, even mandatory, for further improvement as waiting for physiotherapy, radiographs to be taken, crutches to be handed out, a surgeon to appear for discharge etc. may be barriers for early discharge when the functional discharge criteria are fulfilled.

Monitoring of safety aspects and patient satisfaction should be mandatory when changing fast-track set-ups – the first gives a clinical assurance of quality and the latter a patient-based assurance of quality. Also, expanding fast-track to include other subgroups of patients, i.e. revision THA and septic revision THA and TKA, would potentially improve outcome.

Finally, detailed economic studies are needed to illuminate the costs of fast-track including the perioperative set-up with extensive preoperative information. The current reimbursement system in Denmark does not (as in some other countries) rely (solely) on LOS, and other factors may contribute to give a fair reimbursement system for both state and hospital.

In conclusion and looking back, much progress has been achieved in many areas in the last decade and hopefully we will achieve “the pain and risk-free THA and TKA” within a few years.
years.
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